arXiv:0903.1238v3 [math.AG] 28 Aug 2009

MOTIVIC ZETA FUNCTIONS FOR CURVE SINGULARITIES

J. J. MOYANO-FERNÁNDEZ AND W. A. ZÚÑIGA-GALINDO

Abstract. Let X be a complete, geometrically irreducible, singular, algebraic curve defined over a field of characteristic p big enough. Given a local ring $\mathcal{O}_{P,X}$ at a rational singular point P of X, we attached a universal zeta function which is a rational function and admits a functional equation if $\mathcal{O}_{P,X}$ is Gorenstein. This universal zeta function specializes to other known zeta functions and Poincaré series attached to singular points of algebraic curves. In particular, for the local ring attached to a complex analytic function in two variables, our universal zeta function specializes to the generalized Poincaré series introduced by Campillo, Delgado and Gusein-Zade.

1. Introduction

Let X be a complete, geometrically irreducible, singular, algebraic curve defined over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$. In [29] the second author introduced a zeta function $Z(\mathrm{Ca}(X),T)$ associated to the effective Cartier divisors on X. Other types of zeta functions associated to singular curves over finite fields were introduced in [15], [16], [24], [25], [31]. The zeta function $Z(\mathrm{Ca}(X),T)$ admits an Euler product with non-trivial factors at the singular points of X. If P is a rational singular point of X, then the local factor $Z_{\mathrm{Ca}(X)}(T,q,\mathcal{O}_{P,X})$ at P is a rational function of T depending on q and the completion $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{P,X}$ of the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{P,X}$ of X at P. If the residue field of $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{P,X}$ is not too small, then $Z_{\mathrm{Ca}(X)}(T,q,\mathcal{O}_{P,X})$ depends only on the semigroup of $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{P,X}$ (see [29, Theorem 5.5]). Thus, if $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{P,X} \cong \mathbb{F}_q[\![x,y]\!]/(f(x,y))$, then $Z_{\mathrm{Ca}(X)}(T,q,\mathcal{O}_{P,X})$ becomes a complete invariant of the equisingularity class of the algebroid curve $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{P,X}$ (see [4], [26], [28]). Motivated by [12], in [30] the second author computed several examples showing that $\lim_{q\to 1} Z_{\mathrm{Ca}(X)}(T,q,\mathcal{O}_{P,X})$ equals the zeta function of the monodromy of the (complexification) of f at the origin (see [1], and the examples in Section 9). This paper aims to study this phenomenon.

By using motivic integration in the spirit of Campillo, Delgado and Gusein-Zade we attach to a local ring $\mathcal{O}_{P,X}$ of an algebraic curve X a 'universal zeta function' (see Definition 5, Theorem 1, Definition 8). This zeta function specializes to $Z_{\mathrm{Ca}(X)}(T,q,\mathcal{O}_{P,X})$ (see Lemma 7 and Theorem 3). We also establish that $\lim_{q\to 1} Z_{\mathrm{Ca}(X)}(T,q,\mathcal{O}_{P,X})$ equals to a zeta function of the monodromy of a reduced complex mapping in two variables at the origin (see Theorem 3). A key ingredient is a result of Campillo, Delgado and Gusein-Zade relating the Poincaré series attached

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14H20, 14G10; Secondary 32S40, 11S40.

Key words and phrases. Curve singularities, zeta functions, Poincaré series, motivic integration, monodromy.

The first author was partially supported by the grant MEC MTM2007-64704, by Junta de CyL VA065A07, and by the grant DAAD-La Caixa.

to complex analytic functions in two variables and the zeta function of the monodromy (see [4], and Theorem 2). From the point of view of the work of Campillo, Delgado and Gusein-Zade, this paper deals with Poincaré series attached to local rings $\mathcal{O}_{P,X}$ when the ground field is big enough (see Lemma 4). In particular, for the local ring attached to a complex analytic function in two variables, our universal zeta function specializes to the generalized Poincaré series introduced in [7], and then a relation with the Alexander polynomial holds as a consequence of [5]. We also obtain explicit formulas that give precise information about the degree of the numerators of such Poincaré series and functional equations (see Theorem 4 and the corollaries following it). Our results suggest that the factor $Z_{\mathrm{Ca}(X)}(T, q, \mathcal{O}_{P,X})$ is the 'monodromy zeta function of $\mathcal{O}_{P,X}$'. In order to understand this, we believe that a cohomological theory for the universal zeta functions should be developed.

Finally, we want to comment that the connections between zeta functions introduced here and the motivic zeta functions of Kapranov [18] and Baldassarri-Deninger-Naumann [3] are unknown. However, we believe that the zeta functions introduced here are factors of motivic zeta functions of Baldassarri-Deninger-Naumann type for singular curves. In a forthcoming paper the authors plan to study this connection. For a general discussion about motivic zeta functions for curves the reader may consult [2, and the references therein] and [13].

Acknowledgement. The authors wish to thank the referee for his or her useful comments, which led to an improvement of this work.

2. The Semigroup of Values of a Curve Singularity

Let X be a complete, geometrically irreducible, algebraic curve defined over a field k, with function field K/k. Let $\widetilde{X}$ be the normalization of X over k and let $\pi : \widetilde{X} \to X$ be the normalization map. Let $P \in X$ be a closed point of X and $O_P = O_{P,X}$ the local ring of X at P. Let $Q_1, \ldots, Q_d$ be the points of $\widetilde{X}$ lying over P, i.e., $\pi^{-1}(P) = \{Q_1, \ldots, Q_d\}$, and let $O_{Q_1}, \ldots, O_{Q_d}$ be the corresponding local rings at these points. Since the function fields of $\widetilde{X}$ and X are the same, and $\widetilde{X}$ is a non-singular curve, the local rings $O_{Q_1}, \ldots, O_{Q_d}$ are valuation rings of K/k over O_P. The integral closure of O_P in K/k is $\mathbb{O}_P = O_{Q_1} \cap \ldots \cap O_{Q_d}$.

Let $\widehat{\mathbb{O}}_P$ be the completion of $\mathbb{O}_P$ with respect to its Jacobson ideal, and let $\widehat{O}_P$ be, respectively $\widehat{O}_{Q_i}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, d$, the completion of O_P, respectively of O_{Q_i} for $i = 1, \ldots, d$, with respect to the topology induced by their maximal ideals. We denote by $B_P^{(j)}$, $j = 1, \ldots, d$, the minimal primes of $\widehat{O}_P$. Then we have the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widehat{\mathbb{O}}_P \arrow[r, "\cong"] & \widehat{O}_{Q_1} \times \ldots \times \widehat{O}_{Q_d} \\
\widehat{O}_P \arrow[u] \arrow[r, "\underline{\varphi}"] & \widehat{O}_{B_P^{(1)}} \times \ldots \times \widehat{O}_{B_P^{(d)}}, \arrow[u]
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\underline{\varphi}$ is the diagonal morphism. Since $\widehat{O}_P$ is a reduced ring (cf. [21, Theorem 1]) and [17, proof of Satz 3.6]), $\underline{\varphi}$ is one to one. Thus we have a bijective correspondence between the $\widehat{O}_{Q_i}$'s and $\widehat{O}_{B_P^{(i)}}$'s. We call the rings $\widehat{O}_{B_P^{(i)}}$ *the branches* of $\widehat{O}_P$. By the Cohen structure theorem for complete regular local rings, each $\widehat{O}_{Q_i}$ is isomorphic to $k_i[\![t_i]\!]$, $i = 1, \ldots, d$, where k_i is the residue field of $\widehat{O}_{Q_i}$.

We will say that $\widehat{O}_P$ is *totally rational* if all rings $\widehat{O}_{Q_i}$, for $i = 1, \ldots, d$, have k as residue field.

From now on we assume that $\widehat{O}_P$ is totally rational ring and identify $\widehat{O}_P$ with $\varphi\left(\widehat{O}_P\right)$. Let v_i denote the valuation associated with $\widehat{O}_{Q_i}$, $i = 1, \ldots, d$. By using these valuations we define $\underline{v}\left(\underline{z}\right) = \left(v_1\left(z_1\right), \ldots, v_d\left(z_d\right)\right)$, for any non-zero divisor $\underline{z} = (z_1, \ldots, z_d) \in \widehat{\mathbb{O}}_P$.

The semigroup S of values of $\widehat{O}_P$ consists of all the elements of the form $\underline{v}\left(\underline{z}\right) = \left(v_1\left(z_1\right), \ldots, v_d\left(z_d\right)\right) \in \mathbb{N}^d$ for all the non-zero divisors $\underline{z} \in \widehat{O}_P$. Observe that, by definition, the semigroup of $\widehat{O}_P$ coincides with the semigroup of values of O_P.

We set $\underline{z} = \underline{t}^{\underline{n}}\underline{\mu} := (t_1^{n_1}, \ldots, t_d^{n_d})\left(\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_d\right) = (t_1^{n_1}\mu_1, \ldots, t_d^{n_d}\mu_d)$, with $\underline{\mu} = (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_d) \in \widehat{\mathbb{O}}_P^{\times}$. With this notation, the ideal generated by a non-zero divisor of $\widehat{\mathbb{O}}_P$ has the form $\underline{t}^{\underline{n}}\widehat{\mathbb{O}}_P$, for some $\underline{n} \in \mathbb{N}^d$.

We set $\underline{1} := (1, \ldots, 1) \in \mathbb{N}^d$ and, for $\underline{n} = (n_1, \ldots, n_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$, $\|\underline{n}\| := n_1 + \ldots + n_d$. We introduce a partial order in $\mathbb{N}^d$, *the product order*, by taking $\underline{n} \geq \underline{m}$, if $n_i \geq m_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, d$.

There exists $\underline{c}_P = (c_1, \ldots, c_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$ minimal for the product order such that $\underline{c}_P + \mathbb{N}^d \subseteq S$. This element is called *the conductor* of S. The *conductor ideal* $\widehat{F}_P$ of $\widehat{O}_P$ is $\underline{t}^{\underline{c}_P}\widehat{\mathbb{O}}_P$. This is the largest common ideal of $\widehat{O}_P$ and $\widehat{\mathbb{O}}_P$. The *singularity degree* δ_P of $\widehat{O}_P$ is defined as $\delta_P := \dim_k \widehat{\mathbb{O}}_P/\widehat{O}_P < \infty$ (see e.g. [23, Chapter IV]). If $\widehat{O}_P$ is a Gorenstein ring, the singularity degree is related to the conductor by the equality $\|\underline{c}_P\| = 2\delta_P$ (see e.g. [23, Chapter IV]). By using the fact that $\widehat{O}_P/\widehat{F}_P$ is a k-subalgebra of $\widehat{\mathbb{O}}_P/\widehat{F}_P$ of codimension δ_P, that $\widehat{\mathbb{O}}_P/\widehat{F}_P$ is a finite dimensional k-algebra, and that $\widehat{F}_P$ is a common ideal of $\widehat{O}_P$ and $\widehat{\mathbb{O}}_P$, we have

$$\widehat{O}_P = \left\{ \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_{i,1} t_1^i, \ldots, \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_{i,d} t_d^i\right) \in \widehat{\mathbb{O}}_P \mid \Delta = 0 \right\} \tag{2.1}$$

where $\Delta = 0$ denotes a homogeneous system of linear equations involving only a finite number of the $a_{i,j}$. Indeed,

$$c_m = 1 + \max\left\{i \mid a_{i,m} \text{ appears in } \Delta = 0\right\},$$

for $m = 1, \ldots, d$ (see examples in Section 9). Note that, as a consequence of the definition of $\underline{\varphi}$, the relations $a_{0,1} = a_{0,2} = \ldots = a_{0,d}$ hold.

Remark 1 (Conventions and Notation)**.** *(1) From now on we will use 'X is an algebraic curve over k', to mean that X is a complete, geometrically irreducible, algebraic curve over k.*
(2) To simplify the notation, we drop the index P, and denote $\widehat{O}_P$ by $\mathcal{O}$, $\widehat{F}_P$ by $\mathcal{F}$ and $\widehat{\mathbb{O}}_P$ by $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}} = k[\![t_1]\!] \times \ldots k[\![t_d]\!]$, and $\mathcal{O}$ is a k-vector space of finite codimension in $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}$ with presentation (2.1). We also drop the index P from $\underline{c}_P$ and δ_P.

Remark 2. *Let $(X, 0) \subset \left(\mathbb{C}^2, 0\right)$ be a germ of reduced plane curve given by $f = 0$ for $f \in \mathcal{O}_{(\mathbb{C}^2,0)}$, and let $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{d} X_i$ with $d \geq 1$ be its decomposition into irreducible components (or branches) corresponding to $f = \prod_{i=1}^{d} f_i$. Let $\mathcal{O} := \mathcal{O}_{(X,0)} = \mathcal{O}_{(\mathbb{C}^2,0)}/\left(f\right)$ be the ring of germs of analytic functions on X. Let $\varphi_i : (\mathbb{C}, 0) \to \left(\mathbb{C}^2, 0\right)$ be a parametrization of X_i, i.e., φ_i is an isomorphism between X_i and $\mathbb{C}$ outside of the origin, for $i = 1, \ldots, d$. Let $S(\mathcal{O}) := S(f)$ denote the semigroup of $\mathcal{O}$ defined by using the parametrizations φ_i's. (For further details, see e.g.* [9]*).*

3. Integration with Respect to the Generalized Euler Characteristic

We denote by Var_k the category of k-algebraic varieties, and by $K_0(Var_k)$ the corresponding Grothendieck ring. It is the ring generated by symbols $[V]$, for V an algebraic variety, with the relations $[V] = [W]$ if V is isomorphic to W, $[V] = [V \setminus Z] + [Z]$ if Z is closed in V, and $[V \times W] = [V][W]$. We denote $\mathbf{1} := [\text{point}]$, $\mathbb{L} := \left[\mathbb{A}_k^1\right]$ and $\mathcal{M}_k := K_0(Var_k)\left[\mathbb{L}^{-1}\right]$ the ring obtained by localization with respect to the multiplicative set generated by $\mathbb{L}$.

We define *the set of* $\underline{n}$*-jets* $J_{\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}}^{\underline{n}}$ *of the local ring* $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}$ as $J_{\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}}^{\underline{n}} = \widetilde{\mathcal{O}}/t^{\underline{n}+\underline{1}}\widetilde{\mathcal{O}} \cong k^{\|\underline{n}+\underline{1}\|}$. The canonical projection $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{O}}/t^{\underline{n}+\underline{1}}\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}$ is denoted by $\pi_{\underline{n}}$.

Definition 1. *A subset* $X \subseteq \widetilde{\mathcal{O}} = k[\![t_1]\!] \times \ldots \times k[\![t_d]\!]$ *is said to be cylindric if* $X = \pi_{\underline{n}}^{-1}(Y)$ *for a constructible subset* Y *of* $J_{\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}}^{\underline{n}}$.

We note that $\mathcal{O}$ and $\mathcal{O}^{\times}$ (the group of units of $\mathcal{O}$) are cylindric subsets of $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}$ (cf. (2.1)).

Remark 3. *Any constructible subset* Y *of* $J_{\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}}^{\underline{n}}$ *is defined by a condition that can be expressed as a finite Boolean combination of conditions of the form*

$$\begin{cases} p_i(x_0, \ldots, x_{m-1}) = 0, \quad i \in I; \\ \\ q(x_0, \ldots, x_{m-1}) \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

where $m = \|\underline{n} + \underline{1}\|$*, the* $p_i(x_0, \ldots, x_{m-1})$*,* $q(x_0, \ldots, x_{m-1})$ *are polynomials in* $k[x_0, \ldots, x_{m-1}]$*, and* I *is a finite subset independent of* m*. We call such a condition constructible in* $J_{\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}}^{\underline{n}}$*. Definition 1 means that the condition for a function* $\underline{z} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{O}}$ *to belong to the set* X *is a constructible condition on the* $\underline{n}$*-jet* $\pi_{\underline{n}}(\underline{z})$ *of* $\underline{z}$.

We present now the notion of integral with respect to the generalized Euler characteristic introduced by Campillo, Delgado and Gusein-Zade in [7] for the complex case (and in [11] for more general contexts).

Definition 2. *The generalized Euler characteristic (or motivic measure) of a cylindric subset* $X \subseteq \widetilde{\mathcal{O}}$*,* $X = \pi_{\underline{n}}^{-1}(Y)$*, with* $Y \subseteq J_{\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}}^{\underline{n}}$ *constructible, is* $\chi_g(X) := [Y]\,\mathbb{L}^{-\|\underline{n}+\underline{1}\|} \in \mathcal{M}_k$.

The generalized Euler characteristic $\chi_g(X)$ is well defined since, if $X = \pi_{\underline{m}}^{-1}(Y')$, $Y' \subseteq J_{\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}}^{\underline{m}}$, $\underline{n} \geqslant \underline{m}$, then Y is a locally trivial fibration over Y' with fiber k^r, where $r = \|\underline{n} + \underline{1}\| - \|\underline{m} + \underline{1}\|$.

Definition 3. *Let* $(G, +, 0)$ *be an Abelian group, and* X *a cylindric subset of* $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}$*. A function* $\phi : \widetilde{\mathcal{O}} \to G$ *is called cylindric if it has countably many values and, for each* $a \in G$*,* $a \neq 0$*, the set* $\phi^{-1}(a)$ *is cylindric. As in* [14], [7] *we define*

$$\int_X \phi \, d\chi_g = \sum_{\substack{a \in G \\ a \neq 0}} \chi_g\left(X \cap \phi^{-1}(a)\right) \otimes a,$$

if the sum has sense in $G \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{M}_k$*. In such a case the function* ϕ *is said to be integrable over* X.

Now we give the projective versions of the above definitions which we will use later on. For a k-vector space L (finite or infinite dimensional), let $\mathbb{P}L = (L \setminus \{0\})/k^{\times}$ be its projectivization, let $\mathbb{P}^{\times}L$ be the disjoint union of $\mathbb{P}L$ with a point ($\mathbb{P}^{\times}L$ can be identified with $L/k^{\times}$). The natural map $\mathbb{P}\widetilde{\mathcal{O}} \to \mathbb{P}^{\times}J^{\underline{n}}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}}$ is also denoted by $\pi_{\underline{n}}$.

Definition 4. *A subset* $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}$ *is said to be cylindric if* $X = \pi_{\underline{n}}^{-1}(Y)$ *for a constructible subset* Y *of* $\mathbb{P}J^{\underline{n}}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}} \subset \mathbb{P}^{\times}J^{\underline{n}}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}}$*. The generalized Euler characteristic* $\chi_g(X)$ *of* X *is* $\chi_g(X) := [Y]\,\mathbb{L}^{-\|\underline{n}+\underline{1}\|} \in \mathcal{M}_k$*.*

A function $\phi : \mathbb{P}\widetilde{\mathcal{O}} \to G$ is called *cylindric* if it satisfies the conditions in Definition 3. The notion of integration over a cylindric subset of $\mathbb{P}\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}$ with respect $d\chi_g$ follows the pattern of Definition 3.

Remark 4. *Let* V *be a cylindric subset and a* k*-vector subspace of* $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}$*. Let* π *be the factorization map* $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}} \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{P}\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}$*,* $\Omega : \mathbb{P}\widetilde{\mathcal{O}} \to G$ *a cylindric function integrable over* $\mathbb{P}V$*, and define* $\overline{\Omega} := \Omega \circ \pi : \widetilde{\mathcal{O}} \setminus \{0\} \to G$*. Then* $\overline{\Omega}$ *is cylindric function integrable over* V *and*

$$\int_{V} \overline{\Omega} d\chi_g = (\mathbb{L}-1) \int_{\mathbb{P}V} \Omega d\chi_g. \tag{3.1}$$

The identity follows from the fact that

$$\chi_g\left(\overline{\Omega}^{-1}(a) \cap V\right) = (\mathbb{L}-1)\,\chi_g\left(\Omega^{-1}(a) \cap \mathbb{P}V\right), \textit{ for } a \in G, a \neq 0.$$

4. The Structure of the Algebraic Group $\mathcal{J}$

In this section k is a field of characteristic zero. The quotient group $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}^{\times}/(\underline{1}+\mathcal{F})$ admits a polynomial system of representatives $(g_1, \ldots, g_i, \ldots, g_d)$, where $g_i = \sum_{j=0}^{c_i-1} a_{j,i} t_i^j$, with $a_{0,i} \in k^{\times}$ and $\underline{c} = (c_1, \ldots, c_d)$ is the conductor of S. Thus $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}^{\times}/(\underline{1}+\mathcal{F})$ can be considered as an open subset of the affine space of dimension $\|\underline{c}\|$, this algebraic structure is compatible with the group structure of $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}^{\times}/(\underline{1}+\mathcal{F})$ (cf. [23, Chapter V, Section 14]). Furthermore,

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}^{\times}/(\underline{1}+\mathcal{F}) \cong (G_m)^d \times (G_a)^{\|\underline{c}\|-d},$$

as algebraic groups, where $G_m = (k^{\times}, \cdot)$, $G_a = (k, +)$, (cf. [23, Chapter V, Section 14]). By the previous discussion, the group $\mathcal{O}^{\times}/(\underline{1}+\mathcal{F})$ is an algebraic subgroup of $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}^{\times}/(\underline{1}+\mathcal{F})$.

We note that every equivalence class in $\pi_{\underline{c}-\underline{1}}(\mathcal{O}^{\times})$ has a polynomial representative, and then $\pi_{\underline{c}-\underline{1}}(\mathcal{O}^{\times})$ can be considered an open subset of an affine space, and the multiplication in $\mathcal{O}^{\times}$ induces a structure of algebraic group in $\pi_{\underline{c}-\underline{1}}(\mathcal{O}^{\times})$. In addition, $\pi_{\underline{c}-\underline{1}}\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}^{\times}\right) \cong \widetilde{\mathcal{O}}^{\times}/(\underline{1}+\mathcal{F})$, as algebraic groups.

We set $\mathcal{J} := \widetilde{\mathcal{O}}^{\times}/\mathcal{O}^{\times}$. Every equivalence class has a polynomial representative that can be identified with an element of $J^{\underline{c}-\underline{1}}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}}$. Each equivalence class depends on δ coefficients $a_{i,j}$, see (2.1), $d-1$ of them run over $k^{\times}$ and the others over k. This set of polynomial representatives with the operation induced by the multiplication in $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}^{\times}$ is a k-algebraic group of dimension δ, more precisely, $\mathcal{J} \cong (G_m)^{d-1} \times (G_a)^{\delta-d+1}$ (see [22, Theorem 11 and its Corollary], or [23, Chapter V, Section 17]). The group $\mathcal{J}$ appears in the construction of the generalized Jacobian of a singular curve.

Lemma 1. *With the above notation the following identities hold:*
(1) $[\mathcal{J}] = (\mathbb{L}-1)^{d-1}\,\mathbb{L}^{\delta-d+1}$*;*
(2) $\left[\pi_{\underline{c}-\underline{1}}\left(\mathcal{O}^{\times}\right)\right] = (\mathbb{L}-1)\,\mathbb{L}^{\|\underline{c}\|-\delta-1}$*;*
(3) $\chi_g\left(\mathcal{O}^{\times}\right) = (\mathbb{L}-1)\,\mathbb{L}^{-\delta-1}$*;*
(4) $\chi_g\left(\mathcal{O}\right) = \mathbb{L}^{-\delta}$*.*

Proof. (1) The identity follows from the fact that $\mathcal{J} \cong \left(k^{\times}\right)^{d-1} \times k^{\delta-d+1}$ as algebraic variety, (cf. [23, Chapter V, Section 17]). (2) From the sequence of algebraic groups,

$$1 \to \mathcal{O}^{\times}/\left(\underline{1}+\mathcal{F}\right) \to \widetilde{\mathcal{O}}^{\times}/\left(\underline{1}+\mathcal{F}\right) \to \mathcal{J} \to 1, \tag{4.1}$$

we have $\left[\pi_{\underline{c}-\underline{1}}\left(\mathcal{O}^{\times}\right)\right] = \left[\mathcal{O}^{\times}/\left(\underline{1}+\mathcal{F}\right)\right] = [\mathcal{J}]^{-1}\left[\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}^{\times}/\left(\underline{1}+\mathcal{F}\right)\right]$. Now, the result follows from (1), since $\left[\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}^{\times}/\left(\underline{1}+\mathcal{F}\right)\right] = (\mathbb{L}-1)^{d}\,\mathbb{L}^{\|\underline{c}\|-d}$. (3) The third identity follows from (2) by using $\chi_g\left(\mathcal{O}^{\times}\right) = \left[\pi_{\underline{c}-\underline{1}}\left(\mathcal{O}^{\times}\right)\right]\mathbb{L}^{-\|\underline{c}\|}$. (4) To prove the last identity we note that the following exact sequence of (finite dimensional) vector spaces

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}/\mathcal{F} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{O}}/\mathcal{F} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{O}}/\mathcal{O} \to 0$$

implies that $[\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{F}] = \left[\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}/\mathcal{O}\right]^{-1}\left[\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}/\mathcal{F}\right] = \mathbb{L}^{\|\underline{c}\|-\delta}$. Therefore

$$\chi_g\left(\mathcal{O}\right) = \left[\pi_{\underline{c}-\underline{1}}\left(\mathcal{O}\right)\right]\mathbb{L}^{-\|\underline{c}\|} = [\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{F}]\,\mathbb{L}^{-\|\underline{c}\|} = \mathbb{L}^{-\delta}.$$

□

5. Zeta Functions for Curve Singularities

In this section k is a field of characteristic $p \geq 0$. For $\underline{n} \in S$ we set

$$\mathcal{I}_{\underline{n}} := \left\{ I \subseteq \mathcal{O} \mid I = \underline{z}\mathcal{O}, \text{ with } \underline{v}(\underline{z}) = \underline{n} \right\},$$

and for $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\mathcal{I}_m := \bigcup_{\substack{\underline{n}\in S \\ \|\underline{n}\|=m}} \mathcal{I}_{\underline{n}}.$$

Lemma 2. *For any* $\underline{n} \in S$*, there exists a bijection* $\sigma_{\underline{n}}$ *between* $\mathcal{I}_{\underline{n}}$ *and an algebraic subset* $\sigma_{\underline{n}}\left(\mathcal{I}_{\underline{n}}\right)$ *of* $\mathcal{J}$*, when* $\mathcal{J}$ *is considered as an algebraic variety. Furthermore, if* $\underline{n} \geq \underline{c}$*, then* $\sigma_{\underline{n}}\left(\mathcal{I}_{\underline{n}}\right) = \mathcal{J}$*.*

Proof. Let $I = \underline{z}\mathcal{O}$ be a principal ideal $\mathcal{I}_{\underline{n}}$, with $\underline{z} = \underline{t}^{\underline{n}}\underline{\mu}$, $\underline{t}^{\underline{n}} = \left(t_1^{n_1}, \ldots, t_d^{n_d}\right)$ and $\underline{\mu} = (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_d) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{O}}^{\times}$. Since $\underline{\mu}$ is determined up to an element of $\mathcal{O}^{\times}$, we may assume that $\underline{z} = \underline{t}^{\underline{n}}\underline{\mu}\underline{w}$, with $\underline{\mu} \in \mathcal{J}$ and $\underline{w} \in \mathcal{O}^{\times}$. Here we identify $\mathcal{J}$ with a fixed set of polynomial representatives, and thus $\underline{\mu}$ is one of these representatives. We define

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \sigma_{\underline{n}}: & \mathcal{I}_{\underline{n}} & \to & \mathcal{J} \\ & \underline{t}^{\underline{n}}\underline{\mu}\mathcal{O} & \to & \underline{\mu}. \end{array}$$

Then $\sigma_{\underline{n}}$ is a well-defined one-to-one mapping. We now show that $\sigma_{\underline{n}}\left(\mathcal{I}_{\underline{n}}\right)$ is an algebraic subset of $\mathcal{J}$ whose points parametrize the ideals in $\mathcal{I}_{\underline{n}}$. Let $\underline{\mu}$ be a fixed element in $\mathcal{J}$, if $\underline{t}^{\underline{n}}\underline{\mu} \in \mathcal{O}$, then $\underline{t}^{\underline{n}}\underline{\mu}$ is the generator of an ideal in $\mathcal{I}_{\underline{n}}$. The condition '$\underline{t}^{\underline{n}}\underline{\mu} \in \mathcal{O}$' is algebraic, see (2.1), hence $\sigma_{\underline{n}}\left(\mathcal{I}_{\underline{n}}\right)$ is an algebraic subset of $\mathcal{J}$. Finally, if $\underline{n} \geq \underline{c}$, the condition $\underline{t}^{\underline{n}}\underline{\mu} \in \mathcal{O}$ is always true for any $\underline{\mu} \in \mathcal{J}$, and then $\sigma_{\underline{n}}\left(\mathcal{I}_{\underline{n}}\right) = \mathcal{J}$. □

From now on we will identify $\mathcal{I}_{\underline{n}}$ with $\sigma_{\underline{n}}\left(\mathcal{I}_{\underline{n}}\right)$.

Since

$$\mathcal{I}_m = \cup_{\{\underline{n}\in S \mid \|\underline{n}\|=m\}}\mathcal{I}_{\underline{n}},$$

by applying the previous lemma, we have that $\mathcal{I}_m$ is an algebraic subset of $\mathcal{J}$, for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$. By using this fact, the following two formal series are well-defined.

Definition 5. *We associate to $\mathcal{O}$ the two following zeta functions:*

$$Z\left(T_1,\ldots,T_d,\mathcal{O}\right) := \textstyle\sum_{\underline{n}\in S}\left[\mathcal{I}_{\underline{n}}\right]\mathbb{L}^{-\|\underline{n}\|}T^{\underline{n}} \in \mathcal{M}_k[\![T_1,\ldots,T_d]\!], \tag{5.1}$$

where $T^{\underline{n}} := T_1^{n_1}\cdot\ldots\cdot T_d^{n_d}$, and

$$Z\left(T,\mathcal{O}\right) := Z\left(T,\ldots,T,\mathcal{O}\right). \tag{5.2}$$

Lemma 3. *The sets $\{\underline{z}\in\mathcal{O} \mid \underline{v}\left(\underline{z}\right)=\underline{n}\}$, $\underline{n}\in S$, and $\{\underline{z}\in\mathcal{O} \mid \|\underline{v}\left(\underline{z}\right)\| = k\}$, $k\in\mathbb{N}$, are cylindric subsets of $\mathcal{O}$. In addition,*

$$\chi_g\left(\{\underline{z}\in\mathcal{O} \mid \underline{v}\left(\underline{z}\right)=\underline{n}\}\right) = \left[\mathcal{I}_{\underline{n}}\right]\left[\pi_{\underline{c}-\underline{1}}\left(\mathcal{O}^{\times}\right)\right]\mathbb{L}^{-\|\underline{n}+\underline{c}\|}.$$

Proof. Every $\underline{x}\in\mathcal{O}$, with $\underline{v}\left(\underline{x}\right)=\underline{n}$, can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}\underline{x} &= \underline{t}^{\underline{n}}\underline{\mu}\underline{w},\ \underline{\mu}\in\mathcal{J},\ \underline{w}\in\mathcal{O}^{\times}\\ &= \underline{t}^{\underline{n}}\underline{\mu}\pi_{\underline{c}-\underline{1}}\left(\underline{w}\right) + \underline{t}^{\underline{n}+\underline{c}}\underline{y},\ \underline{y}\in\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus x is determined by its $\underline{n}+\underline{c}$ jet, which in turn is determined by the condition

$$\underline{\mu}\pi_{\underline{c}-\underline{1}}\left(\underline{w}\right)\in\mathcal{I}_{\underline{n}}\times\pi_{\underline{c}-\underline{1}}\left(\mathcal{O}^{\times}\right),$$

which is a constructible one. Therefore $\{\underline{z}\in\mathcal{O} \mid \underline{v}\left(\underline{z}\right)=\underline{n}\}$, $\underline{n}\in S$, is a constructible set and

$$\chi_g\left(\{\underline{z}\in\mathcal{O} \mid \underline{v}\left(\underline{z}\right)=\underline{n}\}\right) = \left[\mathcal{I}_{\underline{n}}\times\pi_{\underline{c}-\underline{1}}\left(\mathcal{O}^{\times}\right)\right]\mathbb{L}^{-\|\underline{n}+\underline{c}\|}.$$

Finally, $\{\underline{z}\in\mathcal{O} \mid \|\underline{v}\left(\underline{z}\right)\| = k\}$, $k\in\mathbb{N}$, is cylindric, since

$$\{\underline{z}\in\mathcal{O} \mid \|\underline{v}\left(\underline{z}\right)\| = k\} = \textstyle\bigcup_{\{\underline{n}\in S \mid\ \|\underline{n}\|=k\}}\{\underline{z}\in\mathcal{O} \mid \underline{v}\left(\underline{z}\right)=\underline{n}\}.$$

□

Corollary 1. *With the above notation the following assertions hold:*
(1) the functions

$$\begin{array}{rccc} T^{\|\underline{v}(\cdot)\|}: & \mathcal{O} & \rightarrow & \mathbb{Z}[\![T]\!]\\ & \underline{z} & \rightarrow & T^{\|\underline{v}(\underline{z})\|},\end{array}$$

with $T^{\|\underline{v}(\underline{z})\|} := 0$, if $\|\underline{v}\left(\underline{z}\right)\| = \infty$, and

$$\begin{array}{rccc} T^{\underline{v}(\cdot)}: & \mathcal{O} & \rightarrow & \mathbb{Z}[\![T_1,\ldots,T_d]\!]\\ & \underline{z} & \rightarrow & T^{\underline{v}(\underline{z})},\end{array}$$

with $T^{\underline{v}(\underline{z})} := 0$, if $\|\underline{v}\left(\underline{z}\right)\| = \infty$, are cylindric;
(2) $\left[\pi_{\underline{c}-\underline{1}}\left(\mathcal{O}^{\times}\right)\right]\mathbb{L}^{-\|\underline{c}\|}Z\left(T_1,\ldots,T_d,\mathcal{O}\right) = \int_{\mathcal{O}}T^{\underline{v}(\underline{z})}d\chi_g$;
(3) $\left[\pi_{\underline{c}-\underline{1}}\left(\mathcal{O}^{\times}\right)\right]\mathbb{L}^{-\|\underline{c}\|}Z\left(T,\mathcal{O}\right) = \int_{\mathcal{O}}T^{\|\underline{v}(\underline{z})\|}d\chi_g$.

Proof. The assertions follow from Definition 3 by applying the previous lemma. □

Let $J_{\underline{n}}\left(\mathcal{O}\right) = \{\underline{z}\in\mathcal{O} \mid \underline{v}\left(\underline{z}\right)\geqslant\underline{n}\}$, for $\underline{n}\in\mathbb{N}^d$ be an ideal. Since $J_{\underline{n}}\left(\mathcal{O}\right)\subseteq J_{\underline{n}+\underline{1}}\left(\mathcal{O}\right)$, they give a multi-index filtration of the ring $\mathcal{O}$. Note that the $J_{\underline{n}}\left(\mathcal{O}\right)$ are cylindric subsets of $\mathcal{O}$. As in [7] we introduce the following motivic Poincaré series.

Definition 6. *The generalized Poincaré series of a multi-index filtration given by the ideals* $J_{\underline{n}}(\mathcal{O})$ *is the integral*

$$P_g(T_1,\dots,T_d,\mathcal{O}) := \int_{\mathbb{P}\mathcal{O}} T^{\underline{v}(\underline{z})} d\chi_g \in \mathcal{M}_k[\![T_1,\dots,T_d]\!].$$

The generalized Poincaré series is related to the zeta function of Definition 5 as follows.

Lemma 4. *With the above notation:*

$$Z(T_1,\dots,T_d,\mathcal{O}) = \mathbb{L}^{\delta+1} P_g(T_1,\dots,T_d).$$

Proof. By Corollary 1 (2), and Lemma 1 (2),

$$Z(T_1,\dots,T_d,\mathcal{O}) = \frac{1}{(\mathbb{L}-1)\,\mathbb{L}^{-\delta-1}} \int_{\mathcal{O}} T^{\underline{v}(\underline{z})} d\chi_g = \mathbb{L}^{\delta+1} \int_{\mathbb{P}\mathcal{O}} T^{\underline{v}(\underline{z})} d\chi_g,$$

(cf. Remark 4). □

We set $l(\underline{n}) := \dim_k \mathcal{O}/J_{\underline{n}}(\mathcal{O})$ and the vector $\underline{e}_i \in \mathbb{N}^d$, $i = 1,\dots,d$, to have all entries zero except for the *i-th* one, which is equal to one. Let $I_0 := \{1,2,\dots,d\}$. For $I \subseteq I_0$, let $\#I$ be the number of elements of I. Let $\underline{1}_I$ be the element of $\mathbb{N}^d$ whose i-th component is equal to 1 or 0 if $i \in I$ or $i \notin I$ respectively. Note that $\underline{0} = \underline{1}_{\emptyset}$ and $\underline{1} = \underline{1}_{I_0}$.

Remark 5. *We recall that*

$$\underline{n} \in S \Longleftrightarrow \dim_k\ J_{\underline{n}}(\mathcal{O})/J_{\underline{n}+\underline{e}_i}(\mathcal{O}) = 1, \textit{ for any } i = 1,\dots,d,$$

see e.g. [10]. *Thus, for* $\underline{n} \in S$, *and for any fixed* $\underline{e}_{i_0}$, *we have the following exact sequence of* k*-vector spaces:*

$$0 \to k \to J_{\underline{n}+\underline{e}_{i_0}}(\mathcal{O}) \to J_{\underline{n}}(\mathcal{O}) \to 0,$$

where $J_{\underline{n}}(\mathcal{O})/J_{\underline{n}+\underline{e}_{i_0}}(\mathcal{O}) \cong k$. *Now, if* $\underline{m} \geq \underline{n} + \underline{e}_{i_0} + \underline{1}$, *from the previous exact sequence, one gets*

$$0 \to k \to J_{\underline{n}+\underline{e}_{i_0}}(\mathcal{O})/t^{\underline{m}+\underline{1}}\widetilde{\mathcal{O}} \to J_{\underline{n}}(\mathcal{O})/t^{\underline{m}+\underline{1}}\widetilde{\mathcal{O}} \to 0,$$

and hence

$$\left[J_{\underline{n}}(\mathcal{O})/t^{\underline{m}+\underline{1}}\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}\right] = \mathbb{L}\left[J_{\underline{n}+\underline{e}_{i_0}}(\mathcal{O})/t^{\underline{m}+\underline{1}}\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}\right].$$

Proposition 1. $\left[\mathcal{I}_{\underline{n}}\right] = (\mathbb{L}-1)^{-1}\,\mathbb{L}^{\|\underline{n}\|+1} \sum_{I\subseteq I_0} (-1)^{\#(I)}\,\mathbb{L}^{-l(\underline{n}+\underline{1}_I)}$, *for* $\underline{n} \in S$.

Proof. We claim that
(5.3)

$$\chi_g\left(J_{\underline{n}}(\mathcal{O})\right) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{L}\cdot\chi_g\left(J_{\underline{n}+\underline{e}_{i_0}}(\mathcal{O})\right), & \text{if} \quad \dim_k\left(J_{\underline{n}}(\mathcal{O})/J_{\underline{n}+\underline{e}_{i_0}}(\mathcal{O})\right) = 1; \\ \chi_g\left(J_{\underline{n}+\underline{e}_{i_0}}(\mathcal{O})\right), & \text{if} \quad \dim_k\left(J_{\underline{n}}(\mathcal{O})/J_{\underline{n}+\underline{e}_{i_0}}(\mathcal{O})\right) = 0, \end{cases}$$

for any $\underline{e}_{i_0}$. The formula is clear if $\dim_k\left(J_{\underline{n}}(\mathcal{O})/J_{\underline{n}+\underline{e}_{i_0}}(\mathcal{O})\right) = 0$, i.e., if $J_{\underline{n}}(\mathcal{O}) = J_{\underline{n}+\underline{e}_{i_0}}(\mathcal{O})$; thus we can assume that $\dim_k\left(J_{\underline{n}}(\mathcal{O})/J_{\underline{n}+\underline{e}_{i_0}}(\mathcal{O})\right) = 1$, i.e. $\underline{n} \in S$.

By taking $\underline{m}$ as in Remark 5, one gets

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_g\left(J_{\underline{n}}(\mathcal{O})\right) &= \mathbb{L}^{-\|\underline{m}+\underline{1}\|}\left[J_{\underline{n}}(\mathcal{O})/t^{\underline{m}+\underline{1}}\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}\right] = \mathbb{L}\left(\mathbb{L}^{-\|\underline{m}+\underline{1}\|}\left[J_{\underline{n}+\underline{e}_{i_0}}(\mathcal{O})/t^{\underline{m}+\underline{1}}\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}\right]\right)\\ &= \mathbb{L}\cdot\chi_g\left(J_{\underline{n}+\underline{e}_{i_0}}(\mathcal{O})\right).\end{aligned}$$

Now we fix a sequence of the form

$$\underline{0} = \underline{m}_0 \leqslant \underline{m}_1 \leqslant \ldots \leqslant \underline{m}_j \leqslant \underline{m}_{j+1} \leqslant \ldots \leqslant \underline{m}_k = \underline{n},$$

where $\underline{m}_{j+1} = \underline{m}_j + \underline{e}_{j_i}$, for $j = 0, \ldots, k-1$. Then by applying (5.3) we have

$$\chi_g\left(J_{\underline{n}}(\mathcal{O})\right) = \mathbb{L}^{-l(\underline{n})}\cdot\chi_g(\mathcal{O}). \tag{5.4}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_g\left(\{\underline{z}\in\mathcal{O} \mid \underline{v}(\underline{z}) = \underline{n}\}\right) &= \chi_g\left(J_{\underline{n}}(\mathcal{O}) \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{d} J_{\underline{n}+\underline{e}_i}(\mathcal{O})\right)\\ &= \chi_g\left(J_{\underline{n}}(\mathcal{O})\right) - \chi_g\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{d} J_{\underline{n}+\underline{e}_i}(\mathcal{O})\right).\end{aligned}$$

Now by using the identities

$$\chi_g\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} A_i\right) = \sum_{\substack{J\subseteq\{1,2,\ldots,n\}\\ J\neq\emptyset}} (-1)^{\#(J)-1}\chi_g\left(\bigcap_{j\in J} A_j\right),$$

$$J_{\underline{n}+\underline{e}_{i_1}}(\mathcal{O}) \cap \ldots \cap J_{\underline{n}+\underline{e}_{i_j}}(\mathcal{O}) = J_{\underline{n}+\underline{e}_{i_1}+\ldots+\underline{e}_{i_j}}(\mathcal{O}),$$

(5.4) and Lemma 3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\left[\mathcal{I}_{\underline{n}}\right] &= \frac{\mathbb{L}^{\|\underline{n}+\underline{c}\|}}{\left[\pi_{\underline{c}-\underline{1}}(\mathcal{O}^{\times})\right]}\left(\chi_g\left(J_{\underline{n}}(\mathcal{O})\right) - \sum_{\substack{I\subseteq\{1,2,\ldots,d\}\\ I\neq\emptyset}} (-1)^{\#(I)-1}\chi_g\left(J_{\underline{n}+\sum_{i\in I}\underline{e}_i}(\mathcal{O})\right)\right)\\ &= \frac{\mathbb{L}^{\|\underline{n}+\underline{c}\|}\chi_g(\mathcal{O})}{\left[\pi_{\underline{c}-\underline{1}}(\mathcal{O}^{\times})\right]}\left(\mathbb{L}^{-l(\underline{n})} - \sum_{\substack{I\subseteq\{1,2,\ldots,d\}\\ I\neq\emptyset}} (-1)^{\#(I)-1}\mathbb{L}^{-l\left(\underline{n}+\underline{1}_I\right)}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Finally, the result follows from the previous identity by using

$$\left[\pi_{\underline{c}-\underline{1}}\left(\mathcal{O}^{\times}\right)\right] = (\mathbb{L}-1)\,\mathbb{L}^{\|\underline{c}\|-\delta-1}\text{and } \chi_g(\mathcal{O}) = \mathbb{L}^{-\delta},$$

(cf. Lemma 1). □

Remark 6. *Let k be a field of characteristic $p > 0$. Let Y be an algebraic curve defined over k. Let $\mathcal{O}_{P,Y}$ be the local ring of Y at the point P, and $\widehat{O}_{P,Y}$ its completion. Then $\mathcal{J} \cong (G_m)^{d-1}\times\Gamma$, where Γ is a subgroup of a product of groups of Witt vectors of finite length. If $p \geq c_i$, for $i = 1, \ldots, d$, where $\underline{c} = (c_1, \ldots, c_d)$ is the conductor of the semigroup of $\widehat{O}_{P,Y}$, then $\mathcal{J} \cong (G_m)^{d-1}\times(G_a)^{\delta-d+1}$ (cf.* [23, Proposition 9, Chapter V, Sections 16]*). We can attach to $\widehat{O}_{P,Y}$ a zeta function $Z\left(T_1, \ldots, T_d, \widehat{O}_{P,Y}\right)$ defined as before. All the results presented so far are valid in this context, in particular Proposition 1.*

6. RATIONALITY OF $Z(T_1,\ldots,T_d,\mathcal{O})$

From now on k is a field of characteristic $p \geq 0$, and $\mathcal{O}$ is a totally rational ring as before. The aim of this section is to prove the rationality of the zeta function $Z(T_1,\ldots,T_d,\mathcal{O})$ and, subsequently, of the generalized Poincaré series $P_g(T_1,\ldots,T_d,\mathcal{O})$ by Lemma 4, giving also an explicit formula for it.

We start establishing the notation and preliminary results required in the proof.

We set $I_0 := \{1,2,\ldots,d\}$ and for a subset J of I_0,

$$H_J := \{\underline{n} \in S \mid n_j \geqslant c_j \Leftrightarrow j \in J\},$$

where $\underline{c} = (c_1,\ldots,c_d)$ is the conductor of S, and also

$$H_J(\mathcal{O}) := \{\underline{z} \in \mathcal{O} \mid \underline{v}(\underline{z}) \in H_J\}.$$

Note that $H_\emptyset(\mathcal{O}) = \{\underline{z} \in \mathcal{O} \mid 0 \leqslant v(z_i) \leqslant c_i - 1,\ i = 1,\ldots,d\}$, and $H_{I_0}(\mathcal{O}) = \mathcal{F}$. Given $\underline{m} \in \mathbb{N}^d$ such that $\underline{c} > \underline{m}$, i.e., $c_i > m_i$, for $i = 1,\ldots,d$, we set

$$H_{J,\underline{m}} := \{\underline{n} \in S \mid n_j \geqslant c_j \text{ if } j \in J, \text{ and } n_j = m_j \text{ if } j \notin J\},$$

$$H_{J,\underline{m}}(\mathcal{O}) := \left\{\underline{z} \in \mathcal{O} \mid \underline{v}(\underline{z}) \in H_{J,\underline{m}}\right\},$$

and for a fixed J satisfying $\emptyset \subsetneq J \subsetneq I_0$,

$$B_J := \left\{\underline{m} \in \mathbb{N}^{\# J} \mid H_{J,\underline{m}} \neq \emptyset\right\}.$$

Therefore for $\emptyset \subsetneq J \subsetneq I_0$, one gets the following partition for $H_J(\mathcal{O})$:

$$H_J(\mathcal{O}) = \bigcup_{\underline{m} \in B_J} H_{J,\underline{m}}(\mathcal{O}). \tag{6.1}$$

Lemma 5. *With the above notation the following assertions hold:*
(1) Let $J = \{1,\ldots,r\}$ with $1 \leqslant r < d$ and let $\underline{m} \in \mathbb{N}^d$ such that $\underline{c} > \underline{m}$. If $H_{J,\underline{m}} \neq \emptyset$, then

$$H_{J,\underline{m}} = \left\{\underline{n} \in \mathbb{N}^d \mid \begin{array}{ll} n_i \geqslant c_i, & \textit{for } i = 1,\ldots,r, \textit{ and} \\ n_i = m_i, & \textit{for } i = r+1,\ldots,d \end{array}\right\};$$

(2) $H_{J,\underline{m}}(\mathcal{O})$ and $H_J(\mathcal{O})$ are cylindric subsets of $\mathcal{O}$.

Proof. (1) Since $H_{J,\underline{m}} \neq \emptyset$, there exist $\underline{f}(\underline{m}) := (e_1,\ldots,e_r,m_{r+1},\ldots,m_d) \in H_{J,\underline{m}}$ and $\underline{z} = (z_1,\ldots,z_d) \in \mathcal{O}$ such that

$$z_i = \begin{cases} \sum_{k=e_i}^{\infty} a_{k,i} t_i^k, & \text{with } a_{e_i,i} \neq 0, \quad \text{for } i = 1,\ldots,r; \\ \\ \sum_{k=m_i}^{\infty} a_{k,i} t_i^k, & \text{with } a_{m_i,i} \neq 0, \quad \text{for } i = r+1,\ldots,d. \end{cases}$$

Since $\mathcal{O}$ is a cylindric subset of $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}$ defined by the condition $\Delta = 0$ (see (2.1)), that involves only the variables $a_{k,i}$ with $0 \leqslant k < c_k$, $k = 1,\ldots,d$, it follows that any $\underline{y} = (y_1,\ldots,y_d) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{O}}$ of the form

$$y_i = \begin{cases} \sum_{k=c_i}^{\infty} a_{k,i} t_i^k, & \text{for } i = 1,\ldots,r; \\ \\ \sum_{k=m_i}^{\infty} a_{k,i} t_i^k, & \text{with } a_{m_i,i} \neq 0, \quad \text{for } i = r+1,\ldots,d, \end{cases}$$

belongs to $\mathcal{O}$, and therefore

$$H_{J,\underline{m}} = \left\{\underline{n} \in \mathbb{N}^d \mid \begin{array}{ll} n_i \geqslant c_i, & \text{for } i = 1,\ldots,r, \text{ and} \\ n_i = m_i, & \text{for } i = r+1,\ldots,d. \end{array}\right\}.$$

(2) Since $H_J(\mathcal{O})$ is a finite disjoint union of subsets of the form $H_{J,\underline{m}}(\mathcal{O})$, it is sufficient to show that $H_{J,\underline{m}}(\mathcal{O})$ is a cylindric subset of $\mathcal{O}$. On the other hand, since $H_{J,\underline{m}}(\mathcal{O}) = \mathcal{O} \cap \left\{ \underline{z} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{O}} \mid \underline{v}(\underline{z}) \in H_{J,\underline{m}} \right\}$ and $\mathcal{O}$ is a cylindric subset of $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}$, it is enough to show that $\underline{v}(\underline{z}) \in H_{J,\underline{m}}$ is a constructible condition in $J^{\underline{l}}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}}$, for some $\underline{l} \in \mathbb{N}^d$. Let $\underline{l} = (c_1, \ldots, c_r, m_{r+1}+1, \ldots, m_d+1)$, and let $\underline{z} = (z_1, \ldots, z_d) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{O}}$ with $z_i = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k,i} t_i^k$, for $i = 1, \ldots, d$. Since

$$v_i(z_i) = m_i \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} a_{k,i} = 0, & k = 0, \ldots, m_i - 1; \\ \\ a_{m_i,i} \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

and

$$v_i(z_i) \geqslant c_i \Leftrightarrow \{ \; a_{k,i} = 0, \quad k = 0, \ldots, c_i - 1,$$

thus $\underline{v}(\underline{z}) \in H_{J,\underline{m}}$ is a constructible condition in $J^{\underline{l}}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}}$. □

Remark 7. *Let* $J = \{1, \ldots, r\}$ *with* $1 \leqslant r < d$ *and let* $\underline{m} \in \mathbb{N}^d$ *such that* $\underline{c} > \underline{m}$. *If* $H_{J,\underline{m}} \neq \emptyset$, *then* $[\mathcal{I}_{\underline{k}}] = \left[\mathcal{I}_{\underline{f_J}(\underline{m})}\right]$, *with* $\underline{f_J}(\underline{m}) = (c_1, \ldots, c_r, m_{r+1}, \ldots, m_d)$, *for any* $\underline{k} \in H_{J,\underline{m}}$.

The remark follows from the following observation. With the notation used in the proof of Lemma 2, the following conditions are equivalent:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{\underline{k}}(I) = \underline{\mu},\ \underline{k} \in H_{J,\underline{m}} &\Leftrightarrow t^{\underline{k}} \underline{\mu}\underline{v} \in \mathcal{O}, \text{ for any } \underline{v} \in \mathcal{O}^{\times},\ \underline{k} \in H_{J,\underline{m}} \\ &\Leftrightarrow t^{\underline{f_J}(\underline{m})} \underline{\mu}\underline{v} \in \mathcal{O}, \text{ for any } \underline{v} \in \mathcal{O}^{\times}. \end{aligned}$$

In the proof of the last equivalence we use the same reasoning as that used in the proof of Lemma 5 (1).

Lemma 6. *Let* J *be a non-empty and proper subset of* I_0, *such that* $H_{J,\underline{m}}(\mathcal{O}) \neq \emptyset$. *Then*

$$\int_{H_{J,\underline{m}}(\mathcal{O})} T^{\underline{v}(\underline{z})} d\chi_g = \frac{\left[\mathcal{I}_{\underline{f_J}(\underline{m})}\right] \left[\pi_{\underline{c}-\underline{1}}(\mathcal{O}^{\times})\right] \mathbb{L}^{-\|\underline{c}\| - \|\underline{f_J}(\underline{m})\|} T^{\underline{f_J}(\underline{m})}}{\prod_{i=1}^{r} (1 - \mathbb{L}^{-1} T_i)},$$

where $\underline{f_J}(\underline{m}) = (c_1, \ldots, c_r, m_{r+1}, \ldots, m_d) \in S$, *with* $m_i < c_i$, $r+1 \leqslant i \leq d$.

Proof. Without loss of generality we assume that $J = \{1, \ldots, r\}$, with $1 \leqslant r < d$. With this notation, by using $H_{J,\underline{m}}(\mathcal{O}) \neq \emptyset$ and Lemma 5 (1), we have

$$H_{J,\underline{m}} = \left\{ \underline{n} \in \mathbb{N}^d \mid \begin{array}{ll} n_i \geqslant c_i, & \text{for } i = 1, \ldots, r, \text{ and} \\ n_i = m_i, & \text{for } i = r+1, \ldots, d. \end{array} \right\}$$

Now, by using Lemma 3 and Remark 7 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{H_{J,\underline{m}}(\mathcal{O})} T^{\underline{v}(\underline{z})} d\chi_g &= \left[\mathcal{I}_{\underline{f_J}(\underline{m})}\right] \left[\pi_{\underline{c}-\underline{1}}(\mathcal{O}^{\times})\right] \mathbb{L}^{-\|\underline{c}\| - \|\underline{f_J}(\underline{m})\|} T^{\underline{f_J}(\underline{m})} \left(\sum_{\underline{e} \in \mathbb{N}^r} \mathbb{L}^{-\|\underline{e}\|} T^{\underline{e}} \right) \\ &= \frac{\left[\mathcal{I}_{\underline{f_J}(\underline{m})}\right] \left[\pi_{\underline{c}-\underline{1}}(\mathcal{O}^{\times})\right] \mathbb{L}^{-\|\underline{c}\| - \|\underline{f_J}(\underline{m})\|} T^{\underline{f_J}(\underline{m})}}{\prod_{i=1}^{r} (1 - \mathbb{L}^{-1} T_i)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\underline{f_J}(\underline{m}) = (c_1, \ldots, c_r, m_{r+1}, \ldots, m_d) \in S$, with $m_i < c_i$, $r+1 \leqslant i \leq d$. □

Theorem 1. *Let k be a field of characteristic $p \geq 0$, and $\mathcal{O}$ a totally rational ring as before. Then (1)*

$$Z\left(T_1,\ldots,T_d,\mathcal{O}\right)=\sum_{\substack{\underline{n}\in S\\ \underline{0}\leq\underline{n}<\underline{c}}}\left[\mathcal{I}_{\underline{n}}\right]\mathbb{L}^{-\|\underline{n}\|}T^{\underline{n}}$$

$$+\sum_{\emptyset\subsetneq J\subsetneq I_0}\;\sum_{\underline{m}\in B_J}\left[\mathcal{I}_{\underline{f_J(m)}}\right]\left[\pi_{\underline{c}-\underline{1}}\left(\mathcal{O}^{\times}\right)\right]\mathbb{L}^{-\|\underline{c}\|-\left\|\underline{f_J(m)}\right\|}\frac{T^{\underline{f_J(m)}}}{\prod_{i=1}^{r_J}\left(1-\mathbb{L}^{-1}T_i\right)}$$

$$+\left[\mathcal{J}\right]\mathbb{L}^{-\|\underline{c}\|}\frac{T^{\underline{c}}}{\prod_{i=1}^{d}\left(1-\mathbb{L}^{-1}T_i\right)},$$

where $\underline{f_J}(\underline{m})=(c_1,\ldots,c_{r_J},m_{r_J+1},\ldots,m_d)\in S$, with $m_i<c_i$, $r_J+1\leqslant i\leq d$, and $1\leqslant r_J<d$.

(2)

$$Z\left(T_1,\ldots,T_d,\mathcal{O}\right)=\frac{M\left(T_1,\ldots,T_d,\mathcal{O}\right)}{\prod_{i=1}^{d}\left(1-\mathbb{L}^{-1}T_i\right)}$$

where $M\left(T_1,\ldots,T_d,\mathcal{O}\right)$ is a polynomial in $\mathcal{M}_k\left[T_1,\ldots,T_d\right]$ of degree at most $\|\underline{c}\|$ that satisfies $M\left(\mathbb{L},\ldots,\mathbb{L},\mathcal{O}\right)=[\mathcal{J}]$.

Proof. Since $Z\left(T_1,\ldots,T_d,\mathcal{O}\right)=\left[\pi_{\underline{c}-\underline{1}}\left(\mathcal{O}^{\times}\right)\right]^{-1}\mathbb{L}^{\|\underline{c}\|}\int_{\mathcal{O}}T^{\underline{v}(\underline{z})}d\chi_g$ (cf. Corollary 1 (2)) and $\mathcal{O}=\cup_{J\subseteq I_0}H_J\left(\mathcal{O}\right)$ is a disjoint union of cylindric subsets (cf. Lemma 5 (2)), $Z\left(T_1,\ldots,T_d,\mathcal{O}\right)$ is equal to a finite sum of integrals of type

$$Z_{H_J}\left(T_1,\ldots,T_d,\mathcal{O}\right):=\left[\pi_{\underline{c}-\underline{1}}\left(\mathcal{O}^{\times}\right)\right]^{-1}\mathbb{L}^{\|\underline{c}\|}\int_{H_J(\mathcal{O})}T^{\underline{v}(\underline{z})}d\chi_g.$$

In the case in which $J=\emptyset$,

$$Z_{H_\emptyset}\left(T_1,\ldots,T_d,\mathcal{O}\right)=\sum_{\substack{\underline{n}\in S\\ \underline{0}\leq\underline{n}<\underline{c}}}\left[\mathcal{I}_{\underline{n}}\right]\mathbb{L}^{-\|\underline{n}\|}T^{\underline{n}}\in\mathcal{M}_k\left[T_1,\ldots,T_d\right],$$

and the degree of $Z_{H_\emptyset}\left(T_1,\ldots,T_d,\mathcal{O}\right)$ is less than or equal to $\|\underline{c}\|-d$.

In the case $J=I_0$, by using Lemma 2, we have

$$Z_{H_{I_0}}\left(T_1,\ldots,T_d,\mathcal{O}\right)=\left[\mathcal{J}\right]\mathbb{L}^{-\|\underline{c}\|}\frac{T^{\underline{c}}}{\prod_{i=1}^{d}\left(1-\mathbb{L}^{-1}T_i\right)}.$$

In the case in which $\emptyset\subsetneq J\subsetneq I_0$, we use the fact that $H_J\left(\mathcal{O}\right)$ is a finite disjoint union of cylindric sets of the form $H_{J,\underline{m}}\left(\mathcal{O}\right)$ (cf. (6.1)) to reduce the problem to the computation of the following integral:

$$\begin{aligned}Z_{H_{J,\underline{m}}}\left(T_1,\ldots,T_d,\mathcal{O}\right)&:=\left[\pi_{\underline{c}-\underline{1}}\left(\mathcal{O}^{\times}\right)\right]^{-1}\mathbb{L}^{\|\underline{c}\|}\int_{H_{J,\underline{m}}(\mathcal{O})}T^{\underline{v}(\underline{z})}d\chi_g\\&=\frac{\left[\mathcal{I}_{\underline{f_J(m)}}\right]\mathbb{L}^{-\left\|\underline{f_J(m)}\right\|}T^{\underline{f_J(m)}}}{\prod_{i=1}^{r_J}\left(1-\mathbb{L}^{-1}T_i\right)},\end{aligned}$$

(cf. Lemma 6), where $\underline{f_J(\underline{m})} = (c_1, \ldots, c_{r_J}, m_{r_J+1}, \ldots, m_d) \in S$, with $m_i < c_i$, $r_J + 1 \leqslant i \leq d$, and $\overline{1} \leqslant r_J < d$. Now the announced explicit formula follows from the previous discussion, and the second part of the theorem is a straight consequence of it. $\square$

Corollary 2. *The zeta function $Z(T, \mathcal{O})$ is a rational function of the form*

$$Z(T, \mathcal{O}) = \frac{R(T, \mathcal{O})}{(1 - \mathbb{L}^{-1}T)^d},$$

where $R(T, \mathcal{O})$ is a polynomial in $\mathcal{M}_k[T]$ of degree at most $\|\underline{c}\|$ that satisfies $R(\mathbb{L}, \mathcal{O}) = [\mathcal{J}]$.

Corollary 3. *The generalized Poincaré series is a rational function of the form*

$$P_g(T_1, \ldots, T_d, \mathcal{O}) = \frac{Q(T_1, \ldots, T_d, \mathcal{O})}{\prod_{i=1}^{d} (1 - \mathbb{L}^{-1}T_i)},$$

where $Q(T_1, \ldots, T_d, \mathcal{O})$ is a polynomial in $\mathcal{M}_k[T_1, \ldots, T_d]$ of degree at most $\|\underline{c}\|$ that satisfies $Q(\mathbb{L}, \ldots, \mathbb{L}, \mathcal{O}) = \mathbb{L}^{-\delta-1}[\mathcal{J}]$.

Definition 7. *Let k be a field of characteristic $p \geq 0$. Let $\mathcal{O} = \widehat{O}_{P,Y}$, where Y is an algebraic curve over k, and P is a singular point of Y. We say that k is big enough for Y, if for every singular point P in Y the following two conditions hold: 1) $\mathcal{O}$ is totally rational and 2) $\mathcal{J} \cong (G_m)^{d-1} \times (G_a)^{\delta-d+1}$.*

Note that by Remark 6, the condition 'k is big enough for Y' is fulfilled when p is big enough.

Corollary 4. *Let k be a field of characteristic $p \geq 0$. Let $\mathcal{O} = \widehat{O}_{P,Y}$ where Y is an algebraic curve over k, and P is a singular point of Y. If k is big enough for Y, then $Z(T_1, \ldots, T_d, \mathcal{O})$ is completely determined by the semigroup of $\mathcal{O}$.*

Proof. By the explicit formula of Theorem 1, $Z(T_1, \ldots, T_d, \mathcal{O})$ is a rational function in the variables $T_1, \ldots, T_d$, and $\mathbb{L}$, depending on S, $\left[\pi_{\underline{c}-\underline{1}}(\mathcal{O}^\times)\right]$, $[\mathcal{J}]$, and $\left[\mathcal{I}_{\underline{m}}\right]$ for $\|\underline{m}\| < \|\underline{c}\|$. In characteristic zero, S determines uniquely $\left[\pi_{\underline{c}-\underline{1}}(\mathcal{O}^\times)\right]$, $[\mathcal{J}]$, $\left[\mathcal{I}_{\underline{m}}\right]$ for $\|\underline{m}\| < \|\underline{c}\|$ (cf. Lemma 1 and Proposition 1). If the characteristic is $p > 0$, the hypothesis "k is big enough for Y" is required to assure that $[\mathcal{J}]$ is determined by the semigroup of $\mathcal{O}$. $\square$

7. ADDITIVE INVARIANTS AND SPECIALIZATION OF ZETA FUNCTIONS

Definition 8. *Put $k = \mathbb{C}$. Consider a semigroup $S \subset \mathbb{N}^d$, such that $S = S(\mathcal{O})$ for some $\mathcal{O} = \widehat{O}_{X,P}$ where X is an algebraic curve over $\mathbb{C}$, and P is a singular point of X. We set*

$$\mathcal{I}_{\underline{n}}(U) := (U-1)^{-1} U^{\|\underline{n}\|+1} \sum_{I \subseteq I_0} (-1)^{\#(I)} U^{-l\left(\underline{n}+\underline{1}_I\right)}, \text{ for } \underline{n} \in S,$$

and

$$\mathcal{Z}(T_1, \ldots, T_d, U, S) := \sum_{\substack{\underline{n} \in S \\ \underline{0} \leq \underline{n} < \underline{c}}} \mathcal{I}_{\underline{n}}(U)\, U^{-\|\underline{n}\|} T^{\underline{n}}$$

$$+\sum_{\emptyset\subsetneq J\subsetneq I_0}\ \sum_{\underline{m}\in B_J}(U-1)\,U^{\|\underline{c}\|-\delta-1}\mathcal{I}_{\underline{f_J}(\underline{m})}(U)\,U^{-\|\underline{c}\|-\left\|\underline{f_J}(\underline{m})\right\|}\frac{T^{\underline{f_J}(\underline{m})}}{\prod_{i=1}^{r_J}(1-U^{-1}T_i)}$$

$$+\,(U-1)^{d-1}\,U^{\delta-d+1}U^{-\|\underline{c}\|}\frac{T^{\underline{c}}}{\prod_{i=1}^{d}(1-U^{-1}T_i)},$$

where $\underline{f_J}(\underline{m})=(c_1,\dots,c_{r_J},m_{r_J+1},\dots,m_d)\in S$, *with* $m_i<c_i$, $r_J+1\leqslant i\leq d$, *and* $1\leqslant r_J<d$ *are as in the explicit formula given in Theorem 1 (1), and* U *is an indeterminate. We call* $\mathcal{Z}(T_1,\dots,T_d,U,S)$ *the universal zeta function associated to* S.

By definition $\mathcal{Z}(T_1,\dots,T_d,U,S)$ is completely determined by S.

Lemma 7. *Assume that* k *is big enough for* Y. *If* $S=S(\mathcal{O})$, *then*

$$Z(T_1,\dots,T_d,\mathcal{O})=\mathcal{Z}(T_1,\dots,T_d,U,S)\,|_{U=\left[\mathbb{A}_k^1\right]}.$$

Proof. The result follows from Corollary 4. □

Remark 8. *Let* R *be a ring. An additive invariant is a map* $\lambda: Var_k\to R$ *that satisfies the same conditions given in the definition of the Grothendieck symbol in the category of* k*-algebraic varieties (see e.g.* [19], [27]*). By construction, the map* $Var_k\to K_0(Var_k): V\mapsto[V]$ *is a universal additive invariant, i.e., the composition with* $[\cdot]$ *gives a bijection between the ring morphisms* $K_0(Var_k)\to R$ *and additive invariants* $Var_k\to R$.

In the complex case, the Euler characteristic

$$\chi(X)=\textstyle\sum_i(-1)^i\,rank\left(H_c^i(X(\mathbb{C}),\mathbb{C})\right)$$

gives rise to an additive invariant $\chi: Var_{\mathbb{C}}\to\mathbb{Z}$. *Since* $\chi\left(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^1\right)=1$, *the Euler characteristic extends to a morphism* $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{C}}\to\mathbb{Z}$. *Then by specializing* $[\cdot]$ *to* $\chi(\cdot)$ *in (5.1) and (5.2) we obtain two 'topological zeta functions', denoted by* $\chi(Z(T_1,\dots,T_d,\mathcal{O}))$ *and* $\chi(Z(T,\mathcal{O}))$. *From a computational point of view, these specializations are obtained by replacing* $\mathbb{L}$ *by* $\mathbf{1}$ *in the corresponding expressions.*

Remark 9. *Let* $(X,0)\subset(\mathbb{C}^2,0)$ *be a reduced plane curve singularity defined by an equation* $f=0$, *with* $f\in\mathcal{O}_{(\mathbb{C}^2,0)}$ *reduced. Let* $h_f: V_f\to V_f$ *be the monodromy transformation of the singularity* f *acting on its Milnor fiber* V_f *(see* [1]*). The zeta function of* h_f *(also called zeta function of the monodromy) is defined to be*

$$\varsigma_f(T):=\prod_{i\geq 0}\left[\det\left(\mathrm{id}-T\cdot(h_f)_*\,|_{H_i(V_f;\mathbb{C})}\right)\right]^{(-1)^{i+1}}.$$

The following theorem is due to Campillo, Delgado and Gusein-Zade ([4, Theorem 1]):

Theorem 2. *[Campillo-Delgado-Gusein-Zade] Put* $k=\mathbb{C}$. *Then for any* $\mathcal{O}=\mathcal{O}_{(\mathbb{C}^2,0)}/(f)$, *with* $f\in\mathcal{O}_{(\mathbb{C}^2,0)}$ *reduced, and for any* $S=S(\mathcal{O})$, *we have*

$$\varsigma_f(T)=\mathcal{Z}(T_1,\dots,T_d,U,S)\,|_{\substack{T_1=\dots=T_d=T\\ U=1}}.$$

Proof. As a consequence of the results of Campillo, Delgado, and Gusein-Zade (see [5], [6], [7]) and Lemma 4, we have $\chi\left(Z\left(T,\mathcal{O}\right)\right)=\varsigma_f\left(T\right)$, the zeta function of the monodromy $\varsigma_f\left(T\right)$ associated to the germ of function $f:\left(\mathbb{C}^2,0\right)\rightarrow\left(\mathbb{C},0\right)$. By the previous remark and Lemma 7, we have

$$\chi\left(Z\left(T,\mathcal{O}\right)\right)=Z\left(T,\mathcal{O}\right)\mid_{\mathbb{L}\rightarrow1}=\mathcal{Z}\left(T_1,\ldots,T_d,U,S\right)\mid_{\substack{T_1=\ldots=T_d=T\\ U=1}}.$$

□

Remark 10. *In* [29] *the second author introduced a Dirichlet series* $Z(\mathrm{Ca}(Y),T)$ *associated to the effective Cartier divisors on an algebraic curve defined over a finite field* $k=\mathbb{F}_q$*. This zeta function admits an Euler product of the form*

$$Z(\mathrm{Ca}(Y),T)=\prod_{P\in X}Z_{\mathrm{Ca}(Y)}(T,q,O_{P,Y}),$$

with

$$Z_{\mathrm{Ca}(Y)}(T,q,O_{P,Y}):=Z_{\mathrm{Ca}(Y)}(T,O_{P,Y})=\sum_{I\subseteq O_{Y,P}}T^{\dim_k(O_{P,Y}/I)},$$

where I *runs through all the principal ideals of* $O_{P,Y}$*. The notation used here for the local factors of* $Z(\mathrm{Ca}(Y),T)$ *is a slightly different to that used in* [29]*. In addition,* $Z_{\mathrm{Ca}(Y)}(T,O_{P,Y})=Z_{\mathrm{Ca}(Y)}(T,\widehat{O}_{P,Y})$*, where* $\widehat{O}_{P,Y}$ *is the completion of* $O_{P,Y}$ *with respect to the topology induced by its maximal ideal. If* $\widehat{O}_{P,Y}$ *is totally rational, then* $Z_{\mathrm{Ca}(Y)}(T,\widehat{O}_{P,Y})$ *is completely determined by the semigroup of* $\widehat{O}_{P,Y}$ *(cf.* [29, Lemma 5.4 and Theorem 5.5]*).*

Remark 11. *In the category of* $\mathbb{F}_q$*-algebraic varieties,* $[\cdot]$ *specializes to the counting rational points additive invariant* $\#\left(\cdot\right)$*. In addition, for a cylindric subset* $X\subset\mathbb{P}\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}$ *such that* $X=\pi_{\underline{n}}^{-1}(Y)$ *for a constructible subset* Y *of* $\mathbb{P}J_{\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}}^{\underline{n}}$*, the only way to define the generalized Euler characteristic* $\chi_g(X)$ *of* X *is by specializing* $[\cdot]$ *to the counting map* $\#\left(\cdot\right)$ *that gives the number of* $\mathbb{F}_q$*-rational points of a variety, i.e.,*

$$\chi_g(X)=\#(Y)\cdot q^{-\left|\left|\underline{n}+\underline{1}\right|\right|},$$

see e.g. [11]*. We denote by* $\#\left(Z\left(T_1,\ldots,T_d,\mathcal{O}\right)\right)$ *the rational function obtained by specializing* $[\cdot]$ *to* $\#\left(\cdot\right)$*. From a computational point of view,* $\#\left(Z\left(T_1,\ldots,T_d,\mathcal{O}\right)\right)$ *is obtained from* $Z\left(T_1,\ldots,T_d,\mathcal{O}\right)$ *by replacing* $\mathbb{L}$ *by* q*.*

Theorem 3. *Let* $k=\mathbb{F}_q$ *and let* $\mathcal{Z}\left(T_1,\ldots,T_d,U,S\right)$ *be the universal zeta function for* S*. Let* Y *be an algebraic curve defined over* k*, and let* $\widehat{O}_{P,Y}$ *be the completion of the local ring of* Y *at a singular point* P*. Assume that* k *is big enough for* Y *and that* $S=S\left(\widehat{O}_{P,Y}\right)$*.*

(1) For any $\mathcal{O}=\mathcal{O}_{(\mathbb{C}^2,0)}/\left(f\right)$*, with* $f\in\mathcal{O}_{(\mathbb{C}^2,0)}$ *reduced, and* $S=S\left(\mathcal{O}\right)$*,*

$$\begin{aligned}Z_{\mathrm{Ca}(Y)}\left(q^{-1}T,q,\widehat{O}_{P,Y}\right)&=\#\left(Z\left(T_1,\ldots,T_d,\widehat{O}_{P,Y}\right)\right)\\&=\mathcal{Z}\left(T_1,\ldots,T_d,U,S\right)\mid_{\substack{T_1=\ldots=T_d=T\\ U=q}}.\end{aligned}$$

In particular $Z_{\mathrm{Ca}(Y)}\left(q^{-1}T,q,\widehat{O}_{P,Y}\right)$ *depends only on* S*. In addition, and if* $\widehat{O}_{P,Y}$ *is plane, then* $Z_{\mathrm{Ca}(Y)}\left(q^{-1}T,q,\widehat{O}_{P,Y}\right)$ *is a complete invariant of the equisingularity class of* $\widehat{O}_{P,Y}$*.*

(2) For any $\mathcal{O}=\mathcal{O}_{(\mathbb{C}^2,0)}/(f)$*, with* $f\in\mathcal{O}_{(\mathbb{C}^2,0)}$*, and* $S=S(\mathcal{O})$*,*

$$Z_{\mathrm{Ca}(Y)}\left(q^{-1}T,q,\widehat{O}_{P,Y}\right)|_{q\to 1}=\varsigma_f(T).$$

Proof. 1) Let $I=(z_1,\ldots,z_d)\,\widehat{O}_{P,Y}\subseteq\widehat{O}_{P,Y}$ be a principal ideal with

$$\underline{n}=(v_1(z_1),\ldots,v_d(z_d)).$$

Since $\dim_k\left(\widehat{O}_{P,Y}/I\right)=\|\underline{n}\|$, and the number of ideals with 'codimension $\underline{n}$' is finite -this number is denoted as $\#\left(\mathcal{I}_{\underline{n}}\right)$-, we have

$$Z_{\mathrm{Ca}(Y)}\left(q^{-1}T,q,\widehat{O}_{P,Y}\right)=\sum_{\underline{n}\in S(\widehat{O}_{P,Y})}\#\left(\mathcal{I}_{\underline{n}}\right)q^{-||\underline{n}||}T^{||\underline{n}||}. \tag{7.1}$$

On the other hand, by specializing $[\cdot]$ to $\#(\cdot)$ and by using the formula for $\left[\mathcal{I}_{\underline{n}}\right]$ given in Proposition 1, we obtain the explicit formula given for $\#\left(\mathcal{I}_{\underline{n}}\right)$ in [29, Lemma 5.4], hence

$$\begin{aligned}Z_{\mathrm{Ca}(Y)}\left(q^{-1}T,q,\widehat{O}_{P,Y}\right)&=\#\left(Z\left(T_1,\ldots,T_d,\widehat{O}_{P,Y}\right)\right)\\&=Z\left(T,\ldots,T,\widehat{O}_{P,Y}\right)|_{\mathbb{L}\to q}\\&=\mathcal{Z}\left(T_1,\ldots,T_d,U,S\right)|\begin{array}{l}T_1=\ldots=T_d=T\\U=q\end{array},\end{aligned}$$

where in the last equality we used Lemma 7.

2) From the first part and by using Theorem 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}Z_{Ca(Y)}\left(q^{-1}T,q,\widehat{O}_{P,Y}\right)|_{q\to 1}&=\mathcal{Z}\left(T_1,\ldots,T_d,U,S\right)|\begin{array}{l}T_1=\ldots=T_d=T\\U=1\end{array}\\&=\varsigma_f(T).\end{aligned}$$

□

8. Functional Equations

In this section k is a field of characteristic $p\geq 0$, and $\mathcal{O}$ is a Gorenstein and totally rational ring. Let $S=S(\mathcal{O})$. We give functional equations for $Z(T_1,\ldots,T_d,\mathcal{O})$, $\mathcal{Z}(T_1,\ldots,T_d,U,S)$ and for other Poincaré series.

Recall that for any $\underline{n}\in\mathbb{Z}^d$, we have $l(\underline{n})=\dim_k\left(\mathcal{O}/J_{\underline{n}}(\mathcal{O})\right)$, with $J_{\underline{n}}=\{\underline{z}\in\mathcal{O}\mid\underline{v}(\underline{z})\geq\underline{n}\}$ (cf. Section 5). In addition we have:

$$l(\underline{n})=l(\underline{n}-\underline{e}_i)+\dim_k\left(J_{\underline{n}-\underline{e}_i}(\mathcal{O})/J_{\underline{n}}(\mathcal{O})\right)\ \text{for all}\ \underline{n}\in\mathbb{Z}^d. \tag{8.1}$$

The following result can be found in [8, Theorem (3.6)]:

Lemma 8 (Campillo-Delgado-Kiyek)**.** *For any* $\underline{n}\in\mathbb{Z}^d$ *and any* $i\in\{1,\ldots,d\}$ *we have*

$$\dim_k\left(J_{\underline{n}}(\mathcal{O})/J_{\underline{n}+\underline{e}_i}(\mathcal{O})\right)+\dim_k\left(J_{\underline{c}-\underline{n}-\underline{e}_i}(\mathcal{O})/J_{\underline{c}-\underline{n}}(\mathcal{O})\right)=1.$$

The following result will be used in the proof of the functional equation:

Lemma 9.

$$l(\underline{c}-\underline{n})-l(\underline{n})=\delta-||\underline{n}||,\ \underline{n}\in\mathbb{Z}^d.$$

Proof. We use induction on $||\underline{m}|| := \sum_{i=1}^{d} |m_i|$, where $\underline{m} = (m_1, \ldots, m_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. For $||\underline{m}|| = 0$ we have $\underline{m} = \underline{0}$. In this case $l(\underline{0}) = 0$ and $l(\underline{c}) = \delta$, and the result is true. Assume, as induction hypothesis, that the result is true for every $\underline{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ with $||\underline{m}|| \leq k$ for some $k \geq 1$. From the induction hypothesis, we have the following two formulas: (i) if $0 < ||\underline{m}|| \leq k$ and $m_i \geq 1$ for some $i \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$, then for $\underline{m} - \underline{e}_i$,

$$l(\underline{c} - (\underline{m} - \underline{e}_i)) - l(\underline{m} - \underline{e}_i) = \delta - ||\underline{m} - \underline{e}_i||. \tag{8.2}$$

(ii) If $0 < ||\underline{m}|| \leq k$ and $m_i \leq 0$, then for some $i \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$, $m_i < 0$. Then for $\underline{m} + \underline{e}_i$,

$$l(\underline{c} - (\underline{m} + \underline{e}_i)) - l(\underline{m} + \underline{e}_i) = \delta - ||\underline{m} + \underline{e}_i||. \tag{8.3}$$

We now verify the validity of the result for $||\underline{m}|| = k + 1$. If $m_i \geq 1$ for some $i \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$, by applying (8.1)

$$l(\underline{c} - \underline{m}) - l(\underline{m}) = l(\underline{c} - \underline{m}) - l(\underline{m} - \underline{e}_i) - \dim_k \left(J_{\underline{m} - \underline{e}_i}(\mathcal{O}) / J_{\underline{m}}(\mathcal{O}) \right),$$

we now use Lemma 8 and (8.1) to get

$$\begin{aligned} l(\underline{c} - \underline{m}) - l(\underline{m}) &= l(\underline{c} - \underline{m}) - l(\underline{m} - \underline{e}_i) - \left(1 - \dim_k \left(J_{\underline{c} - \underline{m}}(\mathcal{O}) / J_{\underline{c} - \underline{m} + \underline{e}_i}(\mathcal{O}) \right)\right) \\ &= l(\underline{c} - \underline{m}) + \dim_k \left(J_{\underline{c} - \underline{m}}(\mathcal{O}) / J_{\underline{c} - \underline{m} + \underline{e}_i}(\mathcal{O}) \right) - l(\underline{m} - \underline{e}_i) - 1 \\ &= l(\underline{c} - (\underline{m} - \underline{e}_i)) - l(\underline{m} - \underline{e}_i) - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, by applying induction hypothesis (8.2) we get

$$l(\underline{c} - \underline{m}) - l(\underline{m}) = \delta - ||\underline{m}||.$$

In the case in which $m_i < 0$, for some $i \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$, we apply the previous reasoning and induction hypothesis (8.3) to get

$$l(\underline{c} - \underline{m}) - l(\underline{m}) = \delta - ||\underline{m}||.$$

□

Remark 12. *We note that* $\mathcal{I}_{\underline{n}} = \emptyset$ *whenever* $\underline{n} \notin S$*, thus,* $\left[\mathcal{I}_{\underline{n}}\right] = 0$ *if* $\underline{n} \notin S$*. We can write* $Z(T_1, \ldots, T_d, \mathcal{O})$ *as follows:*

$$Z\left(T_1, \ldots, T_d, \mathcal{O}\right) = \sum_{\underline{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \left[\mathcal{I}_{\underline{n}}\right] \mathbb{L}^{-||\underline{n}||} T^{\underline{n}}.$$

Theorem 4. *Let* $\mathcal{O}$ *be a Gorenstein and totally rational ring. Assume that* $\mathcal{J} \cong \left(G_m\right)^{d-1} \times \left(G_a\right)^{\delta - d + 1}$*, then*

(1) $$Z(\mathbb{L}T_1, \ldots, \mathbb{L}T_d, \mathcal{O}) = \mathbb{L}^{\delta - d} \cdot T^{\underline{c} - \underline{1}} \cdot \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{d}(1 - \mathbb{L}T_i)}{\prod_{i=1}^{d}(T_i - 1)} \cdot Z(T_1^{-1}, \ldots, T_d^{-1}, \mathcal{O});$$

(2) $$\mathcal{Z}(UT_1, \ldots, UT_d, U, S) = U^{\delta - d} \cdot T^{\underline{c} - \underline{1}} \cdot \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{d}(1 - UT_i)}{\prod_{i=1}^{d}(T_i - 1)} \cdot \mathcal{Z}(T_1^{-1}, \ldots, T_d^{-1}, U, S).$$

Proof. (1) We first note that

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{d}(T_i-1)\right) Z\left(\mathbb{L}T_1,\ldots,\mathbb{L}T_d,\mathcal{O}\right) &= \left(\prod_{i=1}^{d}(T_i-1)\right)\sum_{\underline{n}\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\left[\mathcal{I}_{\underline{n}}\right]T^{\underline{n}}\\ &= \sum_{\underline{n}\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\sum_{J\subseteq I_0}(-1)^{d-\#J}\left[\mathcal{I}_{\underline{n}}\right]T^{\underline{n}+\underline{1}_J}\\ &= \sum_{\underline{n}\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\sum_{J\subseteq I_0}(-1)^{d-\#J}\left[\mathcal{I}_{\underline{n}-\underline{1}_J}\right]T^{\underline{n}},\end{aligned}$$

where $I_0=\{1,2,\ldots,d\}$ and for $J\subseteq I_0$, $\underline{1}_J$ is the element of $\mathbb{N}^d$ whose i-th component is equal to 1 or 0, accordingly if $i\in J$, or if $i\notin J$, respectively. If $\underline{n}-\underline{1}_J\notin S$, then $\left[\mathcal{I}_{\underline{n}-\underline{1}_J}\right]=0$; if $\underline{n}-\underline{1}_J\in S$, then by applying Proposition 1,

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(\prod_{i=1}^{d}(T_i-1)\right) Z\left(\mathbb{L}T_1,\ldots,\mathbb{L}T_d,\mathcal{O}\right)\\ &=\frac{\mathbb{L}}{\mathbb{L}-1}\sum_{\underline{n}\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\sum_{J\subseteq I_0}(-1)^{d-\#J}\sum_{I\subseteq I_0}(-1)^{\#I}\mathbb{L}^{\|\underline{n}-\underline{1}_J\|-l(\underline{n}+\underline{1}_I-\underline{1}_J)}T^{\underline{n}}.\end{aligned}$$

Taking into account that $\mathcal{O}$ is Gorenstein, i.e. $\|\underline{c}\|=2\delta$, and applying Lemma 9,

$$l(\underline{n}+\underline{1}_I-\underline{1}_J)=\|\underline{n}+\underline{1}_I-\underline{1}_J\|+l(\underline{c}-\underline{n}-\underline{1}_I+\underline{1}_J)-\delta,$$

and $\left(\prod_{i=1}^{d}(T_i-1)\right) Z\left(\mathbb{L}T_1,\ldots,\mathbb{L}T_d,\mathcal{O}\right)$ becomes

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{\mathbb{L}}{\mathbb{L}-1}\sum_{\underline{n}\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\sum_{J\subseteq I_0}(-1)^{d-\#J}\sum_{I\subseteq I_0}(-1)^{\#I}\mathbb{L}^{\|\underline{n}-\underline{1}_J\|-\|\underline{n}+\underline{1}_I-\underline{1}_J\|-l(\underline{c}-\underline{n}-\underline{1}_I+\underline{1}_J)+\delta}T^{\underline{n}}\\ &=\frac{\mathbb{L}}{\mathbb{L}-1}\sum_{\underline{n}\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\sum_{I\subseteq I_0}\sum_{J\subseteq I_0}(-1)^{d-\#J+\#I}\mathbb{L}^{-\delta+\|\underline{n}\|}\mathbb{L}^{\|\underline{c}-\underline{n}-\underline{1}_I\|-l(\underline{c}-\underline{n}-\underline{1}_I+\underline{1}_J)}T^{\underline{n}}\\ &=\sum_{\underline{n}\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\sum_{I\subseteq I_0}(-1)^{d+\#I}\mathbb{L}^{-\delta+\|\underline{n}\|}\left(\frac{\mathbb{L}}{\mathbb{L}-1}\sum_{J\subseteq I_0}(-1)^{\#J}\mathbb{L}^{\|\underline{c}-\underline{n}-\underline{1}_I\|-l(\underline{c}-\underline{n}-\underline{1}_I+\underline{1}_J)}\right)T^{\underline{n}}\\ &=\sum_{\underline{n}\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\mathbb{L}^{-\delta+\|\underline{n}\|}\sum_{I\subseteq I_0}(-1)^{d-\#I}\left[\mathcal{I}_{\underline{c}-\underline{n}-\underline{1}_I}\right]T^{\underline{n}}\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{\underline{n}\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \sum_{I\subseteq I_0} (-1)^{d-\#I} \left[\mathcal{I}_{\underline{c}-\underline{n}-\underline{1}_I}\right] \mathbb{L}^{-\delta+||\underline{n}||} T^{\underline{n}} \\
&= \sum_{\underline{n}\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \sum_{I\subseteq I_0} (-1)^{d-\#I} \left[\mathcal{I}_{\underline{c}-\underline{n}-\underline{1}_I}\right] \mathbb{L}^{\delta-||\underline{c}-\underline{n}||} T^{\underline{n}} \\
&= \sum_{\underline{m}\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \sum_{I\subseteq I_0} (-1)^{d-\#I} \left[\mathcal{I}_{\underline{m}-\underline{1}_I}\right] \mathbb{L}^{\delta-||\underline{m}||} T^{\underline{c}-\underline{m}} \\
&= \mathbb{L}^{\delta} T^{\underline{c}} \sum_{\underline{m}\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \sum_{I\subseteq I_0} (-1)^{d-\#I} \left[\mathcal{I}_{\underline{m}-\underline{1}_I}\right] (\mathbb{L}T_1)^{-m_1}\cdot\ldots\cdot(\mathbb{L}T_d)^{-m_d} \\
&= \mathbb{L}^{\delta} T^{\underline{c}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{d}\left((\mathbb{L}T_i)^{-1}-1\right)\right) \sum_{\underline{m}\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \left[\mathcal{I}_{\underline{m}}\right] (\mathbb{L}T_1)^{-m_1}\cdot\ldots\cdot(\mathbb{L}T_d)^{-m_d} \\
&= \mathbb{L}^{\delta-d} T^{\underline{c}-\underline{1}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{d}(1-\mathbb{L}T_i)\right) Z\left(T_1^{-1},\ldots,T_d^{-1},\mathcal{O}\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

(2) The functional equation for $\mathcal{Z}(T_1,\ldots,T_d,U,S)$ follows from the first part by Definition 8 and Theorem 1. $\square$

It is worth mentioning that, since we have *not* shown that

$$\textstyle\sum_{\underline{n}\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\mathcal{I}_{\underline{n}}(U)\,U^{-\|\underline{n}\|}T^{\underline{n}} = \mathcal{Z}(T_1,\ldots,T_d,U,S),$$

it is necessary to show first the functional equation for $Z(T_1,\ldots,T_d,\mathcal{O})$.

Corollary 5. *If* $\prod_{i=1}^{d}\left(1-\mathbb{L}^{-1}T_i\right) Z(T_1,\ldots,T_d,\mathcal{O}) = M(T_1,\ldots,T_d,\mathcal{O})$, *with*

$$M(T_1,\ldots,T_d,\mathcal{O}) = \sum_{\underline{0}\leq\underline{i}\leq\underline{c}} a_{\underline{i}} T^{\underline{i}},$$

then (1) $M(\mathbb{L}T_1,\ldots,\mathbb{L}T_d,\mathcal{O}) = \mathbb{L}^{\delta}T^{\underline{c}}M\left(T_1^{-1},\ldots,T_d^{-1},\mathcal{O}\right)$. *(2)* $a_{\underline{i}} = a_{\underline{c}-\underline{i}}\mathbb{L}^{\delta-\|\underline{i}\|}$, *for* $\underline{0}\leq\underline{i}\leq\underline{c}$. *In particular,* $a_{\underline{c}} = \mathbb{L}^{-\delta}$, *since* $a_{\underline{0}} = 1$, *and then the degree of* $M(T_1,\ldots,T_d,\mathcal{O})$ *is* $\|\underline{c}\|$.

Remark 13. *(1) By specialization several functional equations can be obtained, among them,*

$$Z(\mathbb{L}T,\mathcal{O}) = \mathbb{L}^{\delta-d}\cdot T^{||\underline{c}-\underline{1}||}\cdot\left(\frac{1-\mathbb{L}T}{T-1}\right)^{d}\cdot Z(T^{-1},\mathcal{O}).$$

(2) By Lemma 4 one also obtains the functional equations for the generalized Poincaré series (see also [20, Theorem 5.4.3]*):*

$$P_g(\mathbb{L}T_1,\ldots,\mathbb{L}T_d,\mathcal{O}) = \mathbb{L}^{\delta-d}\cdot T^{\underline{c}-\underline{1}}\cdot\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{d}(1-\mathbb{L}T_i)}{\prod_{i=1}^{d}(T_i-1)}\cdot P_g\left(T_1^{-1},\ldots,T_d^{-1},\mathcal{O}\right).$$

(3) Let $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_{(\mathbb{C}^2,0)}/(f)$, *where* $f\in\mathcal{O}_{(\mathbb{C}^2,0)}$ *is reduced. Then*

$$\varsigma_f(T) = (-1)^d T^{||\underline{c}-\underline{1}||}\cdot\varsigma_f\left(T^{-1}\right).$$

9. Examples

9.1. **Example.** Set $\mathcal{O}=\mathbb{C}\{\{x,y\}\}/\left(x^3-y^2\right)$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}=\mathbb{C}\{\{t\}\}$, then

$$\mathcal{O}=\left\{\textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}a_it^i\in\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}\mid a_1=0\right\}=\mathbb{C}+t^2\mathbb{C}\{\{t\}\},$$

and

$$\mathcal{O}^\times=\left\{\textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}a_it^i\in\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}\mid a_0\neq 0, a_1=0\right\}.$$

The semigroup of values is the set $\{0\}\cup\{n\in\mathbb{N}\mid n\geqslant 2\}$, and $\left[\pi_{\underline{c}-\underline{1}}\left(\mathcal{O}^\times\right)\right]=\left[\mathbb{C}^\times\times\{\text{point}\}\right]=\mathbb{L}-1$. The group $\mathcal{J}$ is isomorphic to $\{1+bt\mid b\in\mathbb{C}\}$, where the product is defined as $(1+b_0t)(1+b_1t)=1+(b_0+b_1)t$, and the identity is 1. We now compute the zeta function of $Z(T,\mathcal{O})$. We first note that $[\mathcal{I}_0]=[\{\text{point}\}]=1$. To compute $[\mathcal{I}_k]$ for $k\geqslant 2$, we fix a set of polynomial representatives $\{\mu\}$ of $\mathcal{J}$ in $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}$. If $I=z\mathcal{O}$, $v(z)=k$, then $z=t^k(1+bt)v$, with $1+bt\in\mathcal{J}$ and $v\in\mathcal{O}^\times$. The correspondence $z\rightarrow 1+bt$ gives a bijection between $\mathcal{I}_k$ and $\mathcal{J}$, for $k\geqslant 2$, therefore $[\mathcal{I}_k]=\mathbb{L}$, for $k\geqslant 2$, and $Z(T,\mathcal{O})=\frac{1-\mathbb{L}^{-1}T+\mathbb{L}^{-1}T^2}{1-\mathbb{L}^{-1}T}$. Note that each I in $\mathcal{I}_k$ corresponds to a $\mu\in\mathcal{J}$ such that $t^k\mu\in\mathcal{O}$.

By specializing $[\cdot]$ to the Euler characteristic $\chi(\cdot)$, we obtain $\chi(Z(T,\mathcal{O}))=\frac{1-T+T^2}{1-T}=\varsigma_f(T)$. By applying a theorem of A'Campo (see [1]) it is possible to verify that $\chi(Z(T,\mathcal{O}))$ is the zeta function of the monodromy at the origin of the mapping $f:\mathbb{C}^2\rightarrow\mathbb{C}$, where $f(x,y)=x^3-y^2$.

Let $\mathbb{F}_q$ be a finite field with q elements. Let us consider the local ring $\mathcal{A}=\mathbb{F}_q[\![x,y]\!]/\left(x^3-y^2\right)$ which is totally rational over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Observe that $\delta=1$ and $\mathcal{J}\cong(\mathbb{F}_q,+,0)$. By specializing $[\cdot]$ to $\#(\cdot)$, we get $\#(Z(T,\mathcal{O}))=Z\left(q^{-1}T,\mathcal{A}\right)$, where $Z(T,\mathcal{A})=\frac{1-T+qT^2}{1-T}$ is the local factor of the zeta function $Z(\mathrm{Ca}(X),T)$ at the origin, here X is the projective curve over $\mathbb{F}_q$ defined by $f(x,y)=x^3-y^2\in\mathbb{F}_q[x,y]$. Note that $\lim_{q\rightarrow 1}Z(T,\mathcal{A})=\varsigma_f(T)$, see [29, Example 5.6].

9.2. **Example.** Set $\mathcal{O}=\mathbb{C}\{\{x,y\}\}/\left(y^2-x^4+x^5\right)$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}=\mathbb{C}\{\{t_1\}\}\times\mathbb{C}\{\{t_2\}\}$, then

$$\mathcal{O}=\left\{\left(\textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}a_{i,1}t_1^i,\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}a_{i,2}t_2^i\right)\in\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}\mid a_{0,1}=a_{0,2},\, a_{1,1}=a_{1,2}\right\}.$$

The conductor ideal is $\mathcal{F}=\left(t_1^2,t_2^2\right)\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}$, and the semigroup S is equal to

$$\{(0,0)\}\cup\{(1,1)\}\cup\left\{(k_1,k_2)\in\mathbb{N}^2\mid k_1\geqslant 2,\, k_2\geqslant 2\right\}.$$

Note that $\left[\pi_{\underline{c}-\underline{1}}\left(\mathcal{O}^\times\right)\right]=(\mathbb{L}-1)\mathbb{L}$. The group $\mathcal{J}$ is isomorphic to

$$\left\{(a+bt_1,1)\mid a\in\mathbb{C}^\times,\ b\in\mathbb{C}\right\},$$

where the product is defined as

$$(a_0+b_0t_1,1)(a_1+b_1t_1,1)=(a_0a_1+(a_0b_1+a_1b_0)t_1,1).$$

Therefore $[\mathcal{J}]=(\mathbb{L}-1)\mathbb{L}$, and $\left[\mathcal{I}_{\underline{n}}\right]=[\mathcal{J}]$, for $\underline{n}\geqslant(2,2)$. To compute $\left[\mathcal{I}_{(1,1)}\right]$ we use the fact that each I in $\mathcal{I}_{(1,1)}$ corresponds to a point of $\underline{\mu}=(a+bt_1,1)\in\mathcal{J}$ such that $(t_1,t_2)\,\underline{\mu}\in\mathcal{O}$, thus we have to determine all the $a\in\mathbb{C}^\times$ and $b\in\mathbb{C}$ such that

$$(t_1,t_2)(a+bt_1,1)=\left(at_1+bt_1^2,t_2\right)\in\mathcal{O}$$

(here the product is in $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}$ and not in $\mathcal{J}$), then $a = 1$, $b \in \mathbb{C}$ and thus $\left[\mathcal{I}_{(1,1)}\right] = \mathbb{L}$, and $Z(T_1, T_2, \mathcal{O})$ is equal to

$$\frac{1 - \mathbb{L}^{-1}T_1 - \mathbb{L}^{-1}T_2 + \left(\mathbb{L}^{-1} + \mathbb{L}^{-2}\right) T_1T_2 - \mathbb{L}^{-2}T_1T_2^2 - \mathbb{L}^{-2}T_1^2T_2 + \mathbb{L}^{-2}T_1^2T_2^2}{\left(1 - \mathbb{L}^{-1}T_1\right)\left(1 - \mathbb{L}^{-1}T_2\right)}.$$

By specializing $[\cdot]$ to $\chi(\cdot)$ we have $\chi(Z(T, \mathcal{O})) = 1 + T^2 = \varsigma_f(T)$, that are the Alexander polynomial and the zeta function of the monodromy of the germ of mapping $f : \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C} : (x, y) \mapsto y^2 - x^4 + x^5$ at the origin.

Set $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{F}_q[\![x, y]\!]/\left(y^2 - x^4 + x^5\right)$. Observe that $\delta = 2$ and $\mathcal{J} \cong ((\mathbb{F}_q)^{\times}, \cdot) \times (\mathbb{F}_q, +, 0)$. By specializing $[\cdot]$ to $\#(\cdot)$, we obtain the equality $\#(Z(T, \mathcal{O})) = Z\left(q^{-1}T, \mathcal{A}\right)$, where $Z(T, \mathcal{A}) = \frac{1-2T+(q+1)T^2-2qT^3+q^2T^4}{(1-T)^2}$ is the local factor of the zeta function $Z(\mathrm{Ca}(X), T)$ at the origin, here X the projective curve over $\mathbb{F}_q$ defined by $f(x, y) = y^2 - x^4 + x^5 \in \mathbb{F}_q[x, y]$. Note that $\lim_{q\to 1} Z(T, \mathcal{A}) = \varsigma_f(T)$.

9.3. **Example.** Set $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{C}\{\{t^3, t^4, t^5\}\}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}} = \mathbb{C}\{\{t_1\}\}$. The embedding dimension of $\mathcal{O}$ is three. The group $\mathcal{J}$ is isomorphic to $\left\{1 + at + bt^2 \mid a, b \in \mathbb{C}\right\}$, where the product is defined as

$$\left(1 + a_0t + b_0t^2\right)\left(1 + a_1t + b_1t^2\right) = 1 + (a_0 + a_1)\, t + (b_0 + b_1 + a_0a_1)\, t^2.$$

The zeta function of this ring is $Z(T, \mathcal{O}) = \frac{1-\mathbb{L}^{-1}T+\mathbb{L}^{-1}T^3}{1-\mathbb{L}^{-1}T}$, and $\chi(Z(T, \mathcal{O})) = \frac{1-T+T^3}{1-T}$. This rational function should be 'the monodromy zeta function of $\mathcal{O}$,' but this cannot be explained from the point of view of A'Campo paper [1] . It seems that the connection between $\chi(Z(T, \mathcal{O}))$ and the "topology of $\mathcal{O}$" is not completely understood.

References

[1] A'Campo, N.: *La fonction zêta d'une monodromie.* Comment. Math. Helv. **50** (1975), 233–248.

[2] André, Y.: *An introduction to motivic zeta functions of motives.* Preprint, arXiv:0812.3920.

[3] Baldassarri, F., Deninger, C., and Naumann, N.: *A motivic version of Pellikaan's two variable zeta function.* Diophantine geometry, 35–43, CRM Series, 4, Ed. Norm., Pisa, 2007.

[4] Campillo A., Delgado, F., and Gusein-Zade, S. M.: *On the monodromy of a plane curve singularity and the Poincaré series of its ring of functions.* Functional Analysis and its Applications **33** (1999), no. 1 56–57.

[5] Campillo A., Delgado, F., and Gusein-Zade, S. M.: *The Alexander polynomial of a plane curve singularity via the ring of functions on it.* Duke Math. J. **117** (2003), no. 1, 125–156.

[6] Campillo A., Delgado, F., and Gusein-Zade, S. M.: *The Alexander polynomial of a plane curve singularity and integrals with respect to the Euler characteristic.* Internat. J. Math. **14** (2003), no. 1, 47–54.

[7] Campillo A., Delgado, F., and Gusein-Zade, S. M.: *Multi-index filtrations and Generalized Poincaré series.* Monatshefte für Math **150** (2007), 193–209.

[8] Campillo A., Delgado, F., and Kiyek, K.: *Gorenstein property and symmetry for one-dimensional local Cohen-Macaulay rings.* Manuscripta Math. **83** (1994), 405–423.

[9] Delgado de la Mata, F.: *The semigroup of values of a curve singularity with several branches.* Manuscripta Math. **59** (1987), no. 3, 347–374.

[10] Delgado de la Mata, F.: *Gorenstein curves and symmetry of the semigroup of values.* Manuscripta Math. **61** (1988), no. 3, 285–296.

[11] Delgado de la Mata, F., and Moyano-Fernández, J.-J.: *On the relation between the generalized Poincaré series and the Stöhr zeta function.* Proc. Am. Math. Soc. **137** (2009), no. 1, 51–59.

[12] Denef, J. and Loeser, F.: *Caracteristiques de Euler-Poincaré, fonctions zeta locales et modifications analytiques.* J. Amer. Math. Soc. **5** (1992), 705–720.

[13] Denef, J. and Loeser, F.: *On some rational generating series occurring in arithmetic geometry*. Geometric aspects of Dwork theory. Vol. I, II, 509–526, Walter de Gruyter GmbH & Co. KG, Berlin, 2004.

[14] Denef, J. and Loeser, F.: *Germs of arcs on singular algebraic varieties and motivic integration*. Invent. Math. **135** (1999), no. 1, 201–232.

[15] Galkin, V. M. : *Zeta-functions of certain one-dimensional rings*. Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **37** (1973), 3–19.

[16] Green, B.: *Functional equations for zeta functions of non-Gorenstein orders in global fields*. Manuscripta Math. **64** (1989), no. 4, 485–502.

[17] Herausgegeben von Jürgen Herzog and Ernst Kunz.: *Der kanonische Modul eines Cohen-Macaulay-Rings.Seminar über die lokale Kohomologietheorie von Grothendieck, Universität Regensburg, Wintersemester 1970/1971*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 238. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1971. vi+103 pp.

[18] Kapranov, M. :*The elliptic curve in the S-duality theory and Eisenstein series for Kac-Moody groups*. Preprint, math.AG/0001005.

[19] Loeser, F.: *Seattle Letures on Motivic Integration*. Preprint 2006.

[20] Moyano-Fernández, J.-J.: *Poincaré series associated with curves defined over perfect fields*. Ph.D. Thesis, Universidad de Valladolid, Valladolid, Spain, 2008.

[21] Rosenlicht, M.: *Equivalence relations on algebraic curves*. Ann. of Math. **56**, (1952), no. 2, 169–191.

[22] Rosenlicht, M.: *Generalized Jacobian varieties*. Ann. of Math. **59**, (1954), no. 2, 505–530.

[23] Serre, J.-P.: *Algebraic groups and class fields*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **117**. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1988.

[24] Stöhr, K.-O.: *On the poles of regular differentials of singular curves*. Bol. Soc. Brasil. Mat. (N.S.) **24** (1993), no. 1, 105–136.

[25] Stöhr, K.-O.: *Local and Global Zeta Functions of Singular Algebraic Curves*. Journal of Number Theory **71**, (1998), 172–202.

[26] Waldi, R.: *Wertehalbgruppe und Singularität einer ebenen algebroiden Kurve*. Ph.D. Thesis, Universität Regensburg, Regensburg, Germany, 1972.

[27] Veys, W.: *Arc spaces, motivic integration and stringy invariants*. Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics **43**, Proceedings of "Singularity Theory and its Applications," Sapporo (Japan), 16-25 September 2003 (2006), 529–572.

[28] Zariski, O.: *Le probléme des modules pour les branches planes*. Centre de Mathématiques de l'Ecole Polytechnique, 1979, 199 pp.

[29] Zúñiga Galindo, W. A.: *Zeta functions and Cartier divisors on singular curves over finite fields*. Manuscripta Math. **94** (1997), no. 1, 75–88.

[30] Zúñiga Galindo, W. A.: *Zeta Functions of Singular Algebraic Curves Over Finite Fields*. Ph.D. Thesis, IMPA, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, August 1996.

[31] Zúñiga-Galindo, W. A.: *Zeta functions of singular curves over finite fields*. Rev. Colombiana Mat. **31** (1997), no. 2, 115–124.

INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT OSNABRÜCK. ALBRECHTSTRASSE 28A, 49076 OSNABRÜCK, DEUTSCHLAND
E-mail address: `jmoyano@mathematik.uni-osnabrueck.de`

CENTRO DE INVESTIGACIÓN Y DE ESTUDIOS AVANZADOS DEL I.P.N., DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, AV. INSTITUTO POLITÉCNICO NACIONAL 2508, COL. SAN PEDRO ZACATENCO, MÉXICO D.F., C.P. 07360, MÉXICO
E-mail address: `wzuniga@math.cinvestav.mx`